Comparison of crystal structure and DFT calculation of triferrocenyl trithiophosphite’s conformation

Ruslan P. Shekurov,¹ Mikhail N. Khrizanforov,*,¹,² Ilya A. Bezkishko,¹ Tatiana P. Gerasimova,¹ Almaz A. Zagidullin,¹ Daut R. Islamov,²,³ Vasili A. Miluykov¹

¹Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, FRC Kazan Scientific Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, 8 Arbuzov Street, 420088 Kazan, Russian Federation,
²Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya Str. 18, 420008 Kazan, Russian Federation
³Laboratory for Structural Studies of Biomacromolecules, FRC Kazan Scientific Center of RAS, Lobachevskogo Street 2/31, Kazan 420111, Russian Federation

Corresponding author
khrizanforov@gmail.com

Abstract

A multiferrocene triferrocenyltrithiophosphite was studied by X-ray single-crystal diffraction. Triferrocenyl trithiophosphite has nine axes of internal rotation: three P-S bonds, three C-S bonds and three Fe – cyclopentadienyl axes. Rotation around the P-S bonds results in a totally asymmetric structure with three ferrocenylthio-groups exhibiting different orientations towards the phosphorus lone electron pair (LEP). A comparison of DFT calculations and X-ray diffraction data is presented, in which we show which conformations are preferred for a given ligand.
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Introduction

Design of novel “stimuli-responsive” molecules is very attractive area in modern chemistry due to a number of various practical applications of such compounds [1-6]. Multi(ferrocenyl) compounds with a strong metal–metal coupling are of particular interest owing to their multiredox, magnetic coupling, and unpaired electron density migration properties. From a practical point of view, such switchable arrays were intensely studied because conjugated organic chains containing FeII/FeIII couples of the ferrocenyl group can be potentially used in molecular electronics, quantum cellular automata, optoelectronic materials, and biochemistry for application in redox or photonic devices [6].

A promising approach is the coordination self-assembly of multiferrocene ensembles from ferrocene-containing ligands and metal ions or clusters. This makes it possible to realize an almost infinite number of multiferrocene compounds, to select leading compounds for the successful creation of molecular electronics devices. It should be noted that with the exception of tertiary phosphines, a relatively small number of trivalent phosphorus derivatives have been used to construct multiferrocene compounds. The use of ferrocene derivatives containing a phosphorus-sulfur bond is a promising direction, since coordination with a metal atom can occur both at the phosphorus and sulfur atoms [7]. To obtain such complexes it is important to know the conformational capabilities of the ligand [8-11].
However, to date, XRD data on phosphorus derivatives containing a ferrocenyl substituent at the sulfur atom are presented only in acidified and sulfurized forms. Trithiophosphite has not been studied by X-ray structural analysis, although it is of the greatest interest for the construction of complexes of multiferroene systems. Here we present a comparison of DFT calculations and X-ray diffraction data, in which we show which conformations are preferred for a given ligand.

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**Source of material**

To a suspension of white phosphorus (0.08 g, 0.645 mmol) in acetone (30 mL) were added diferrocenyldisulfide (1.68 g, 3.8 mmol) and 0.2 mL 15N solution of potassium hydroxide. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature and then the solvent was evaporated in a vacuum. The product was extracted with benzene (3*30 mL) and after evaporation of the solvent triferroenyltrithiophosphite (1.34 g, 76%) was obtained as yellow-orange powder. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from a mixture of benzene/hexane (1:1) in a fridge.

**General**

All reactions and manipulations were carried out under dry pure N₂ using standard Schlenk apparatus. All solvents were distilled from sodium/benzophenone and stored under nitrogen before use. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL-400 (¹H 400 MHz, ³¹P 161.7 MHz, ¹³C 100.6 MHz). SiMe₄ was used as internal reference for ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, and 85% H₃PO₄ as external reference for ³¹P. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector-22 spectrometer. The elemental analyses were carried out at the microanalysis laboratory of the Arbuzov Institute of Organic and Physical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences.

**Experimental section**
Data set for single crystals of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite was collected on a Rigaku XtaLab Synergy S instrument with a HyPix detector and a PhotonJet microfocus X-ray tube using Cu Kα (1.54184 Å) radiation at 100 K. Images were indexed and integrated using the CrysAlisPro data reduction package. Data were corrected for systematic errors and absorption using the ABSPACK module. The GRAL module was used for analysis of systematic absences and space group determination. Using Olex2 [11], structure was solved by direct methods with SHELXT [12] and refined by the full-matrix least-squares on F² using SHELXL [13]. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The figures were generated using Mercury 4.1 program [14].

\[
\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{27}\text{S}_{3}\text{PFe}_{3}.
\]

**Crystal Data** for \( \text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{27}\text{Fe}_{3}\text{PS}_{3} (M = 682.21 \text{ g/mol}) \): monoclinic, space group \( \text{P}2_1/c \) (no. 14), \( a = 7.49490(10) \text{ Å} \), \( b = 19.8932(3) \text{ Å} \), \( c = 18.4291(3) \text{ Å} \), \( \beta = 99.792(2)^\circ \), \( V = 2707.70(7) \text{ Å}^3 \), \( Z = 4 \), \( T = 100.0(5) \text{ K} \), \( \mu(\text{CuKα}) = 15.586 \text{ mm}^{-1} \), \( D_{\text{calc}} = 1.674 \text{ g/cm}^3 \), 17211 reflections measured (6.59° ≤ 2Θ ≤ 153.132°), 5496 unique (\( R_{\text{int}} = 0.0570 \), \( R_{\text{sigma}} = 0.0467 \)) which were used in all calculations. The final \( R_1 \) was 0.0496 (I > 2σ(I)) and \( wR_2 \) was 0.1349 (all data). CCDC number 2201898.

**Results and Discussion**

Previously electrochemical properties of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite was studied and three reversible one-electron peaks corresponding to the stepwise oxidation of three ferrocene fragments in the cyclic voltammograms of oxidation of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite was observed. It should be noted that the first oxidation potential is almost identical to free ferrocene [6]. Here in we report the crystal structure of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite.

For triferrocenyltrithiophosphite \( \text{trans, gauche, gauche} \)-configuration with torsion angles –34, -40, 173° respectively has been observed, although propeller-like \( \text{gauche, gauche} \),
gauche-configuration of alkyl(aryl)thio groups has been observed for free trithiophosphites even in solid state [7] or in gas phase [8-10].

Triferrocenyl trithiophosphite has nine axes of internal rotation: three P-S bonds, three C-S bonds and three Fe – cyclopentadienyl axes. Rotation around the P-S bonds results in a totally asymmetric structure with three ferrocenylthio-groups exhibiting different orientations towards the phosphorus lone electron pair (Figure 1).

![ORTEP representation of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite showing 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.](image)

**Figure 1.** ORTEP representation of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite showing 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.

Several possible conformations of triferrocenyltrithiophosphite have been considered quantum-chemically (Figure 2, Table 1): trans-trans-trans (ttt), gauche-trans-trans (gtt), gauche-gauche-trans (ggt), and gauche-gauche-gauche (ggg). During optimization ggt conformer adopted cis-gauche-trans conformation with Fc(C)-S-P-lone pair dihedral angles 8°, -60° and 173° respectively (Table 1). The lowest energy has been predicted for ttg conformer, nevertheless the energy differences between gtt and cgt conformers is negligible (0.23 kcal/mol). Interestingly, that cgt conformation has been found
previously for tricymantrenyl trithiophosphite with lone electron pair at phosphorus atom [15]. The highest relative energy is predicted for \textit{ggg} conformer (1.7 kcal/mol). The ferrocene adopt almost eclipsed conformation in the all models with the dihedral angle between to Cp ring \sim 10^\circ. Our previous work indicates that Cp can rotate at room temperature [16]. The Fc(C)-S-P-lone pair dihedral angle for \textit{ttt} conformer is \sim 150^\circ, and for \textit{ggg} conformer is \sim -35^\circ. For the \textit{gtt} / \textit{cgt} conformers \textit{trans} S-Fc bonds are almost antiparallel to phosphorus lone pair (LP): 175^\circ, -161^\circ / 173^\circ. The dihedral angle for \textit{gauche} S-Fc bond in \textit{gtt} conformer is -56^\circ, and close value is predicted for one of the \textit{gauche} S-Fc bond in \textit{ttg} conformer (-60^\circ), whereas second one is almost parallel to LEP (8^\circ).

\textbf{Figure 2.} Optimized conformations and relative energies of four possible conformers of triferoceenyltrithiophosphite.
Table 1. Calculated relative energies and dihedral angles Fc(C)-S-P=X (°) (X=LEP, O, S) of four possible conformers of (FcS)$_3$P, (FcS)$_3$PO and (FcS)$_3$PS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(FcS)$_3$P</th>
<th>(FcS)$_3$PO</th>
<th>(FcS)$_3$PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ttt</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149/151/151</td>
<td>149/149/149</td>
<td>149/149/149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-56/175/-161</td>
<td>-56/-173/-135</td>
<td>47/174/135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ggt/cgt</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/-60/173</td>
<td>-62/-47/165</td>
<td>46/45/176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ggg</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-37/-35/-36</td>
<td>-52/-34/-53</td>
<td>42/44/44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, that previously for compounds triferrocenyltrithiophosphate and triferrocenyltetraithiophosphate with P=O and P=S bonds propeller-like ggg conformations have been found by X-Ray analysis. Indeed, computations predict ggg conformer to be the most energetically advantageous for P=S molecule, however with very close energies of ttt and ggg conformers (Table 1). For P=O the ttt conformer is predicted to have the lowest energy. Nevertheless for both P=X compounds computations predict very small energy differences between all four conformers, lower 0.6 kcal/mol. Thus one can conclude that in these cases crystal packing influence the conformation. Comparison of the crystal packings P$_{LEP}$, P=O and P=S compounds clearly confirms this conclusion experimentally (Figure 3).
We compared the crystal packings of three similar compounds: (FcS)$_3$P, (FcS)$_3$PO \cite{15} and (FcS)$_3$PS \cite{7} (Fig. 3). All three compounds form crystals belonging to the monoclinic syngony. In all three cases, the molecules in the crystals form a herringbone motif. In (FcS)$_3$P crystals, C-H...\pi interactions dominate, while in (FcS)$_3$PS and (FcS)$_3$PO crystals, in addition to C-H...\pi interactions, interactions appear: one C-H...S and two C-H...O, respectively. It should be noted that (FcS)$_3$PO crystals contain a solvent molecule that participates in intermolecular interactions. Thus, despite the similarity of the molecular structure of the three compounds and some crystal parameters, the intermolecular interactions differ noticeably from each other.

**Conclusions**

A multiferrocene triferrocenyltrithiophosphite (FcS)$_3$P was studied by X-ray single-crystal diffraction for the first time. DFT calculations and X-ray diffraction data were compared, and preferred conformations were shown. Despite the similarity of the molecular structures and some crystal parameters of (FcS)$_3$P, (FcS)$_3$PO and (FcS)$_3$PS, the intermolecular interactions differ noticeably from each other.
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