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Abstract

2,5-Di(trimethylsilyl)dithieno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophene ((TMS)$_2$-bb-DTT), 2,5-di(trimethylsilyl)diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophene ((TMS)$_2$-bb-DST), and 2,5-di(trimethylsilyl)diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d] selenophene ((TMS)$_2$-bb-DSS) were used as starting materials to synthesize three S-shaped double helicenes (i.e., DH-1, DH-2, and DH-3) through monobromination, formylation, the Wittig reaction, and double oxidative photocyclization. Photocyclization was a highly regioselective process. The molecular structures of DH-1 and DH-2 were confirmed by X-ray single-crystal analysis. Multiple intermolecular interactions, such as C-S, C-Se, S-S, S-Se, and Se-Se, were observed in the crystal packing structures of these compounds. Spectroscopic results and our previous work showed that the combination of molecular structure change and heteroatom replacement from S to Se could precisely modulate molecular energy levels.
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Introduction

Given their esthetically pleasing helical structures, inherent helical chirality, and extended π-conjugation, helicenes have attracted extensive research attention. Helicenes are generally divided into carbohelicenes and heterohelicenes. The rapid development of carbohelicenes has led to the synthesis of double, triple, quadruple, quintuple, and sextuple molecules, the chiro-optical properties of these molecules, such as their circular dichroism (CD) and circularly polarized luminescence (CPL), have also been widely studied [1]. The development of thiahelicene, a class of typical heterohelicenes, has led to the preparation of symmetric thiophene-based [5], [7], [9], and [11]helicenes [2], unsymmetric thiophene-based [7]helicenes [3], and thiophene-based double helicenes with spiro-silicon atoms [4], “saddle” formed 8π annulene [2d], and twisted naphthalene as central spacers [5].

As its close analogue, selenophene has properties very similar to those of thiophene. Fused aromatic compounds containing selenophene units show favorable optical and electrochemical properties and improved charge transport characteristics in the solid state mainly because such fused aromatic compounds often undergo increased Se-Se interactions, which confer ordering at the molecular scale and, thus, lead to well-aligned solid-state packing and excellent charge-transport properties [6].

However, as an important type of heteroacenes, fused selenophenes have rarely been reported in the literature because their synthesis is extremely challenging. The first seven-ring-fused heteroacene containing selenophene was synthesized through the intramolecular triple cyclization of bis(o-haloaryl)diacetylene by Yamaguchi in 2005 [7].
Using a similar method, Takimiya reported the synthesis of six-ring-fused and four-ring-fused heteroacenes containing selenopheno[3,2-b]selenophene in 2007 and 2009, respectively [8]. Five years later, Cheng reported the synthesis of two types of five-ring-fused isomers of diselenopheno[2,3-b:7,6-b′]fluorine and diselenopheno[3,2-b:6,7-b′]fluorene through the cyclization of terminal acetylene as well as six types of biselenophene-based fused tricyclic derivatives [9]. In 2017, we reported the first member of diselenoselenophenes (DSS), 2,5-di(trimethylsilanyl)diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]selenophene ((TMS)$_2$-bb-DSS), the TMS group could easily be removed by trifluoroacetic acid and replaced by bromine [10]. Another isomer of DSS, diseleno[3,2-b:2′,3′-d]selenophene (tt-DSS) has been successively synthesized from selenophene [11].

Among the limited fused aromatic compounds containing selenophene currently available, helicenes have received relatively little attention [10]. In our previous work, bull horn-shaped selenophene-based heteroacenes (1, Figure 1) and selenophene-based [7]helicene (2, Figure 1) were synthesized from the (TMS)$_2$-substituted selenophene triacenes, 2,5-di(trimethylsilanyl)diseleno[3,2-b:2′,3′-d]selenophene ((TMS)$_2$-tt-DSS) and (TMS)$_2$-bb-DSS, respectively. In this work, the novel S-shaped double helicene DH-3 (Figure 1), which is based on selenophene units, was constructed as a new member of the selenohelicene family.

![Molecular structures of 1, 2 and DH-3.](image)

Figure 1. Molecular structures of 1, 2 and DH-3.

In this synthetic study, regioselective double oxidative photocyclization was observed during the construction of three S-shaped double helicenes DH-(1-3) based on thiophene/selenophene. From DH-1 to DH-3, sulfur atoms in the molecular framework
were gradually replaced by selenium atoms. The crystal structures and spectroscopic features of DH-(1-3) were then studied. Finally, the reaction sites of oxidative photocyclization, energy levels, and the electron cloud distribution of the highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) are predicted.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of 5(a-c) and DH-(1-3)**

The synthetic route of 1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)dithieno-[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5a), 1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)diselenopheno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5b), 1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)diselenopheno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]selenophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5c), and S-shaped double helicenes DH-(1-3) is shown in Scheme 1. The double oxidative photocyclization of 5(a-c) is the key step in the synthesis of DH-(1-3) because oxidative photocyclization induces double radicals on a double bond, which led to the C=C bond rotation along the resulting single C(radical)-C(radical) bond and randomly directed annelated products [12]. Moreover, 5a-c, bear two C=C bonds, which may lead to more complex photocyclization products. 5-Trimethylsilyl-diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophene-2-carbald-ehyde (4a), 5-trimethylsilyl-diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (4b) and 5-trimethylsilyl-diseleno[2,3-b:3′,2′-d]selenophene-2-carbaldehyde (4c) were prepared via monobromination and formylation reactions with (TMS)2-bb-DTT, (TMS)2-bb-DST, and (TMS)2-bb-DSS as starting materials according to the literature [10]. After the double Wittig reaction of 4(a-c) and 1,3-phenyldimethyltriphenylphosphoniumbromide 5a and 5b were synthesized with yields of 46% and 64%, respectively. After the double Wittig reaction of 5c we obtained a mixture of cis and
trans isomers with the total yield of 50%. According to the results of $^1$H NMR the ratio of cis and trans isomers was approximately 1:0.25.

Compound 5 had five isomers and three reaction sites (2, 4, and 6-positions in the benzene moiety) during oxidative photocyclization. Irradiation of 5(a-c) resulted in oxidative photocyclization products with two types of configurations wherein two benzene rings were closed in the same and opposite direction, such as DH-(1-3) and 6 (Figure 2). However, after the double oxidative photocyclizations of 5(a-c) in the presence of iodine and propylene oxide in dry toluene through irradiation by a 450 W Hg medium-pressure lamp for 1.5 h, only one type of ring-closing product with two benzene rings formed in the same direction, i.e., S-shaped double helicenes DH-(1-3) are obtained in yields of 62%, 30%, and 53%, respectively.

The double oxidative photocyclization reaction sites of 5 were predicted by the orbital-weighted Fukui function in Multiwfn using Gaussian 09 [13] at the B3LYP/6-31G**[14] level of theory to verify the reaction-site selectivity of oxidative photocyclization further. Results showed that the conformations of 5a are varied, but orbital-weighted Fukui function has nothing to do with conformation. In the orbital-weighted Fukui function, the larger the isosurface distribution, the higher the activity of free radical reactions. Thus the 4- and 6-positions of benzene are the most likely sites for the free radical reaction (Figure S20). However, after the formation of the naphthalene ring, the $\alpha$ position is the most likely site for free radical reaction (Figure S21). Therefore, after the double oxidative photocyclization of compound 5a, DH-1 is mainly obtained. The predicted result of the double oxidative photocyclizations of 5a is consistent with the experimental result, that is, an S-shaped double helicene can be selectively obtained through the double oxidative photocyclization of compound 5a.
Figure 2. Five kinds of isomer structures of 5 and two kinds of possible oxidative photocyclization product structures of 6 and DH-(1-3).

Scheme 1. Synthetic route to S-shaped double helicenes DH-(1-3).

Crystallographic analyses of DH-1 and DH-2

The molecular structures of DH-1 and DH-2 were confirmed by single-crystal analysis (Figure 3). Both DH-1 and DH-2 belong to the triclinic space group P-1. After double oxidative photocyclizations of 5a and 5b, DH-1 and DH-2 are compressed into S-shaped double helical structures (Figures 3A, 3B), which consist of one [5]helicene and one [6]helicene. The two helicenes have the same configuration and bend toward the same direction on the same side of the shared naphthalene ring (Figures 3C, 3D).
Both **DH-1** or **DH-2** feature a pair of enantiomers **MM** and **PP** in the unit cell (Figures S24, 28). The crystal parameters of **DH-1** and **DH-2** are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Crystal parameters of DH-1 and DH-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Dihedral angles (°)(^a)</th>
<th>Torsions Angles (°)(^b)</th>
<th>Turn angles in- plane (°)(^c)</th>
<th>Helix climbs (Å)(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DH-1</strong></td>
<td>[5]helicene 25.00</td>
<td>38.64</td>
<td>231.1</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[6]helicene 50.61</td>
<td>64.38</td>
<td>273.6</td>
<td>2.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DH-2</strong></td>
<td>[5]helicene 25.01</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>230.9</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[6]helicene 48.16</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>279.3</td>
<td>2.462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Dihedral angle between two terminal rings of helicene. \(^b\)The sum of the three ([5]helicene) or four ([6]helicene) distortion angles. \(^c\)The angle of turns in-plane. \(^d\)Internal helix climb height.

Figure 3. Molecular structures and side view for **DH-1** and **DH-2**. A and B are molecular structures for **DH-1** and **DH-2**. C and D are side view for **DH-1** and **DH-2**. Carbon, sulfur, selenium, and silicon atoms are depicted with thermal ellipsoids set at the 30% probability level, and all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Each of the two **DH-1** molecules (blue and red molecules, Figure S25) in the unit cell interacts with six adjacent molecules. For example, in Figure S25, the blue molecule interacts with six adjacent molecules through multiple interactions, such as, S···S (3.44
Å), S···H (2.94 Å, 2.91 Å, 2.97 Å), H···H (2.31 Å), C···C (3.31 Å), S···C (3.45 Å), and C···H (2.90 Å). Each of the two DH-2 molecules (blue and red molecules, Figure S29) in the unit cell interact with eight adjacent molecules. For example, in Figure S29, the blue molecule interacts with eight adjacent molecules through multiple interactions, such as, C···C (3.40 Å), Se···H (3.09 Å), H···H (2.26 Å), C···H (2.90 Å), Se···Se (3.62 Å), and Se···S (3.58 Å). However, in contrast to DH-1, DH-2 exhibits multiple S···H (2.88 Å), S···C (3.45 Å), and Se···H (2.94 Å) interactions between two molecules in the unit cell. These multiple interactions confer DH-1 and DH-2 with a regular arrangement featuring multi channels (Figures S26, 30), which is a suitable characteristic for helicene compounds used as supramolecular self-assembly units [15].

**Spectroscopic Features of DH-(1-3)**

The UV-vis absorption spectra of DH-(1-3) in dichloromethane are shown in Figure 4. The UV-vis absorption spectra of DH-(1-3) are generally similar in shape and exhibit three major absorption bands within 230-280 nm (Band-I), 280-330 nm (Band-II), and 304-414 nm (Band-III) (Figure 4). Progressive red-shifts in the absorption spectra of Band-I, Band-II, and Band-III occur with increasing number of selenium atoms. In Band-I, compounds DH-(1-3) show a maximum absorption peak at 232, 240, and 242 nm, respectively. In Band-II and Band-III, helical distortion and possible conjugation through heteroatoms (e.g., sulfur and selenium atoms) in DH-(1-3) may increase π-electron delocalization, which causes the molecules to show red-shifted broad absorption. The maximum absorption peaks of DH-(1-3) occur at 268, 275, 279 nm, respectively, in Band-II and 323, 331 and 336 nm, respectively, in Band-III. Thus, the optical band gaps estimated from the absorption edges gradually decrease from DH-1 to DH-2 to DH-3, and are equal to 3.08, 3.01, and 2.98 eV, respectively. This change
trend is consistent with the calculated results, which are 3.97, 3.83, and 3.81 eV for DH-1, DH-2, and DH-3, respectively (Table S2). However, the optical band gaps of 1, 2, and DH-3 obviously differ because of changes in their molecular configuration and equal to 2.86, 3.15, and 3.81 eV, respectively [10, 11a]. As the number of selenium atoms increases from DH-1 to DH-3, the fluorescence intensity (Figure S18) and fluorescence quantum yield (ΦF, Figure S19, and Table S1) of the molecules also decrease.

![UV-vis absorption spectra of DH-(1-3) in CH2Cl2 ([C] = 1 × 10⁻⁵ M).](image)

**Fig. 4.** UV-vis absorption spectra of DH-(1-3) in CH2Cl2 ([C] = 1 × 10⁻⁵ M).

**Conclusion**

In summary, the key step of regioselective double oxidative photocyclization was successfully employed in the preparation of three S-shaped double helicenes, namely, DH-1, DH-2 and DH-3 with (TMS)₂-bb-DTT, (TMS)₂-bb-DST, and (TMS)₂-bb-DSS as starting materials. The synthetic method described in this research not only provides a method for the synthesis of S-shaped double helicenes but also enriches the family of selenophene helicenes. Multiple intermolecular interactions and regular arrangement in the crystal packing structures of DH-1 and DH-2 indicate that these compounds may be used as supramolecular self-assembly units. Changes in molecular structure may substantially modulate the optical band gap of heteroacenes, and the replacement of
heteroatoms from S to Se could fine-tune their optical band gap [4, 10, 11a]. Thus, the combination of molecular structure modification and atom replacement could be a viable strategy, for the precise modulation molecular energy levels and yield molecules with strong application potential in organic functional materials, such as OFETs, and CPLs, among others.

**Experimental**

**General Procedures and Materials**

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) for use on vacuum line were freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone prior to use. n-BuLi (hexane) were obtained from Energy Chemical; prior to use, its concentration was determined by titration with N-pivaloyl-o-toluidine [16]. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (300-400 mesh). Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed on glass plates of silica gel GF-254 with detection by UV. Standard techniques for synthesis under inert atmosphere and Schlenk glassware equipped with an 8 mm PTFE vacuum stopcock, were employed. All starting materials and reagents were commercially available.

$^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectra were recorded on 300 or 400 MHz NMR instrument using CDCl$_3$ as solvents. The chemical shift references were as follows: ($^1$H) CDCl$_3$, 7.26 ppm (CHCl$_3$); ($^{13}$C($^1$H)) CDCl$_3$, 77.00 ppm (CDCl$_3$). IR spectra were obtained using an FT-IR instrument. MS analysis was carried out on mass spectrometers equipped with EI (70 eV). HRMS analysis was carried out on a mass spectrometer equipped with DART-FT-ICR and MALDI-TOF-CHCA. Melting-point determination was taken on a Melt-Temp apparatus and was uncorrected. The X-ray crystallographic analyses were performed using crystals of compounds DH-1 and DH-2 with sizes of 0.14 × 0.12 × 0.08, 0.21 × 0.17 × 0.12 mm$^3$, respectively. The intensity data were
collected with the \( \omega \) scan mode (296 K) on a diffractometer with CCD detector using Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation (\( \lambda = 1.54184 \) Å). The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and absorption corrections were performed using SADABS program [17]. The crystal structures were solved using the SHELXTL program and refined using full-matrix least-squares [18]. Further details are in the deposited CIF files. Slow evaporation of solutions of DH-1 and DH-2 in CHCl\(_3\)-CH\(_3\)OH (5:1 v/v) were employed for growing single crystals. The fluorescence quantum yields (\( \Phi_F \)) of DH-(1-3) are characterized in dichloromethane with quinine sulfate in 0.1 N H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) as the control.

**Synthesis of 5(a-c) and DH-(1-3)**

**Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)dithieno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5a)**

\( n \)-BuLi (0.06 mL, 2.50 M in hexane, 0.168 mmol, 2.5 eq) was added dropwise to 1,3-bis[methyl(bromotriphenylphosphonium)] (53.3 mg, 0.067 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at -78 °C. After 2 h at -78 °C, the solution of 4a (41.0 mg, 0.138 mmol, 2.05 eq) in THF (5 mL) was added at -78 °C and kept for 1 h, and then the reaction mixture was warmed up slowly to ambient temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with CH\(_3\)OH and extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 \times 10 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl (20 mL) and water (2 \times 20 mL), and then dried over MgSO\(_4\). The residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent: HEX/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (v/v = 3/1)) and recrystallization from CHCl\(_3\)-CH\(_3\)OH to yield 5a (20.9 mg, 46%) as a yellow solid, mp: > 300 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \( \delta \) (ppm): 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 2H), 7.41-7.36 (m, 3H), 7.34 (s, 2H), 7.31 (d, \( J = 16.0 \) Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, \( J = 16.0 \) Hz, 2H), 0.39 (s, 18H). \(^{13}\)C\([^1\)H\]) NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \( \delta \) (ppm): 145.3, 144.7, 143.7, 140.7, 138.4, 137.8, 137.2, 129.1, 127.8, 125.5, 124.9, 124.4, 122.8, 118.0, -0.1. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z =
1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)diselenopheno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5b) was synthesized according to the procedure. 5b: yellow solid in yield of 64% (46.2 mg), mp: > 300 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \(\delta\) (ppm): 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.55 (d, \(J = 15.0\) Hz, 3H), 7.38-7.32 (m, 5 H), 6.79 (d, \(J = 15.0\) Hz, 2H), 0.38 (s, 18H). \(^13\)C\(^{1}\)H NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \(\delta\) (ppm): 151.6, 149.7, 144.5, 142.6, 141.1, 137.01, 136.98, 129.2, 128.6, 127.5, 125.6, 124.9, 124.4, 121.0, 0.2. MS (DART): \(m/z = 854.8\) [M + H]. HRMS (DART-FT) \(m/z\) [M\(^+\)] calcd for C\(_{32}\)H\(_{30}\)Se\(_6\)Si\(_2\) 854.8071; found 854.8067. IR (KBr): 3011, 2951, 2889, 1624, 1413, 1369, 908, 833 cm\(^{-1}\).

1,3-bis(2-(5-(trimethylsilyl)diselenopheno[2,3-b:3',2'-d]selenophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzene (5c) was synthesized according to the procedure. Mixture of cis and trans isomers 5c: yellow solid in yield of 50% (24.4 mg), mp: > 300 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \(\delta\) (ppm): 7.76 (s, trans-), 7.73 (s, cis- and trans-), 7.72 (s, cis- and trans-), 7.57 (s, cis- and trans-), 7.56 (s, trans-), 7.49-7.33 (m, trans-), cis- and trans-), 7.30 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, cis- and trans-), 6.90 (d, \(J = 12.0\) Hz, cis- and trans-), 6.79 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, trans-), 6.78 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, trans- and trans-), 6.65 (d, \(J = 12.0\) Hz, cis- and trans-), 0.38 (s, trans-), 0.36 (s, cis- and trans-), 0.35 (s, cis- and trans-). The ratio of integral areas of the peaks at 7.76, 7.73 and 7.72 ppm is 1.0 : 0.25. MS (MALDI): \(m/z = 949.8\) [M\(^+\)]. HRMS (DART-FT) \(m/z\) [M\(^+\)] calcd for C\(_{32}\)H\(_{30}\)Se\(_6\)Si\(_2\) 949.6894; found 949.6887. IR (KBr): 3010, 2951, 2889, 1624, 1413, 1369, 908, 833 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Synthesis of DH-1**

To a solution of 5a (9.6 mg, 0.014 mmol) in dry toluene (6 mL), iodine (7.3 mg, 0.028 mmol, 2.0 eq) and excess propylene oxide was added. The reaction solution was irradiated with a 450 W unfiltered Hg medium-pressure lamp for 1.5 h. The reaction
was quenched with saturated Na$_2$S$_3$O$_3$ (5 mL). The reaction mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 × 5 mL), washed with H$_2$O (3 × 10 mL), and then dried over MgSO$_4$. After removing the solvent in vacuum, the crude product was purified by PTLC with petrol ether (60-90 °C) HEX/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (v/v = 5/1) as developer to yield DH-1 (5.9 mg, 62%) as a light-yellow solid, mp: >300 °C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 9.09 (d, $J$ = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 0.48 (s, 9H), 0.14 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 144.6, 144.1, 143.19, 143.18, 142.1, 141.7, 141.6, 141.4, 141.3, 141.1, 135.0, 133.4, 130.6, 129.8, 129.0, 128.5, 127.53, 127.46, 127.3, 126.7, 126.4, 124.3, 123.7, 121.5, 119.7, 0.0, -0.4. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 657.87 (30) [M]$^+$ . HRMS (MALDI) m/z [M]$^+$ calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{26}$S$_6$Si$_2$ 657.9896; found 657.9892. IR (KBr): 3045, 2952, 2860, 1645, 1487, 1410, 972, 837 cm$^{-1}$.

DH-2 was synthesized according to the compound DH-1 procedure. DH-2: light-yellow solid in yield of 30% (15.8 mg), mp: >300 °C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 8.92 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 8.32 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 0.47 (s, 9H), -0.01 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 149.9, 146.9, 145.7, 145.1, 144.8, 144.3, 142.7, 142.6, 139.8, 139.4, 139.2, 137.5, 132.8, 130.8, 130.6, 130.2, 129.59, 129.58, 128.4, 128.1, 126.7, 125.6, 124.5, 124.3, 124.2, 122.2, 0.3, -0.2. MS (DART): m/z = 850.8 (45) [M + H]$^+$. HRMS (DART) m/z [M + H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{26}$S$_6$Si$_2$Se$_4$ 850.7758; found 850.7743. IR (KBr): 3060, 2949, 2896, 1632, 1489, 1402, 933, 829 cm$^{-1}$.

DH-3 was synthesized according to the compound DH-1 procedure. DH-3: light yellow solid in yield of 53% (19.5 mg), mp: 265.1-266.9 °C. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 8.86 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.22 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 0.47 (s, 9H), -0.01 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C{$^1$H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ (ppm): 149.9, 146.9, 145.7, 145.1, 144.8, 144.3, 142.7, 142.6, 139.8, 139.4, 139.2, 137.5, 132.8, 130.8, 130.6, 130.2, 129.59, 129.58, 128.4, 128.1, 126.7, 125.6, 124.5, 124.3, 124.2, 122.2, 0.3, -0.2. MS (DART): m/z = 850.8 (45) [M + H]$^+$. HRMS (DART) m/z [M + H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{26}$S$_6$Si$_2$Se$_4$ 850.7758; found 850.7743. IR (KBr): 3060, 2949, 2896, 1632, 1489, 1402, 933, 829 cm$^{-1}$.
Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 0.45 (s, 9H), -0.11 (s, 9H). $^{13}$C{${^1}$H} NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$), $\delta$ (ppm): 151.0, 148.0, 147.4, 147.0, 145.9, 143.9, 142.6, 141.1, 140.9, 139.34, 139.26, 138.9, 134.1, 132.3, 131.7, 130.7, 130.2, 129.3, 128.8, 128.0, 126.7, 125.0, 124.9, 124.1, 123.9, 121.9, 0.4, -0.3. MS (DART): m/z = 945.8 [M]$^+$. HRMS (DART-FT) m/z [M]$^+$ calcd for C$_{32}$H$_{26}$Se$_6$Si$_2$ 945.6580; found 945.6564. IR (KBr): 3047, 2947, 2895, 1633, 1504, 1409, 925, 831 cm$^{-1}$.
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