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Abstract

Multimodal imaging technology were extensively studied over past few years, because they offered complementary diagnosis information, which can increase the accuracy of diagnosis. The synthesis of contrast agents via simplified methods are desired for the development of multimodal imaging. Herein, uniformly distributed Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes for T$_1$-T$_2$ dual-mode contrast agents were rationally designed and successfully fabricated by our group. In this system, the Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanocubes were coated with nontoxic 3,4-dihydroxyhydrocinnamic acid (DHCA) for better hydrophilia
and biocompatibility. The results show that Ferrum (Fe) and Gadolinium (Gd) elements are homo-dispersity in the Fe₃O₄/Gd₂O₃-DHCA (FGDA) nanocubes. Relaxivity study at 3.0 T scanner demonstrates that the r₁ value and r₂ value of FGDA nanocubes reach up to 67.57 ± 6.2 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ and 24.2 ± 1.46 mM⁻¹s⁻¹. The images of T₁-weighted and T₂-weighted imaging in vivo demonstrate that FGDA nanocubes possess the ability of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging enhancement as dual-mode contrast agent. The above illustrated experimental results indicate that FGDA nanocubes can be applied in clinical diagnosis in future.
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**Introduction**

Magnetic Resonance (MR) imaging has been broadly used in clinical practice for diagnosing disease because of its excellent capability of differentiation of soft tissue, high space resolution, and non-invasive property [1-5]. However, with the increase of disease complexity and the low sensitivity of MR imaging, the diagnosis of diseases has become more and more challenging. Therefore, many researchers have committed to develop contrast agents [6-9]. MR imaging contrast agents can interact with surrounding hydrogen proton to shorten relaxation time and generate signal changes [10]. Generally, contrast agents can be divided into two kinds according to the effect of MR imaging, one is T₁ contrast agents, which shorten longitudinal relaxation time and generate bright signals [11-15], the other is T₂ contrast agents, which shorten transverse relaxation time and generate dark signals [16-17]. The advantage of T₁ contrast agents is that they can generate bright signals, such as Gd-
DTPA, which is broadly used for diagnostic imaging. Nevertheless, the renal toxicity of Gd-based contrast agents should not be ignored [18-19]. T$_2$ contrast agents have lower toxicity compared to T$_1$ contrast agents, such as Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle, but the exaggerated artifacts caused by contrast agents disturb the anatomical structure and then affect accuracy of diagnosis. Recent years, most of researchers pay more attention to develop multi-modal contrast agents. T$_1$-T$_2$ dual modal MR imaging contrast agents, which could effectively exploit their respective advantages and reduce the adverse impacts [20-21]. Moreover, it also offers complementary diagnostic information, which could improve the sensitivity and reliability for detecting lesions. Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles have been extensively investigated as MRI contrast agents due to their good biocompatibility. Therefore, most of studies of T$_1$-T$_2$ dual modal contrast agents are based on Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles in recent years [22].

The present studies demonstrate that uniformly distributed Gd-embedded Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles possess an excellent MR imaging enhancement effect as T$_1$-T$_2$ dual modal contrast agent [23]. Moreover, some studies have suggested that nanocubes have a better MR imaging effect compared with nanoparticles. The reason is that cubic shape could induce irreversible dephasing in routine T$_2$ sequences and a better T$_1$ MR imaging [24-26]. Inspired by these illustrated studies, we report the fabrication of uniformly distributed cubic shape Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$, expecting to obtain a novel nanocubes with better MR imaging enhancement effect. In addition, in present work, the novel nanocubes were coated with 3,4-Dihydroxyhydrocinnamic (DHCA) which has higher exchange efficiency and a lower toxicity compared to frequently used modifications, which could make new nanocubes possess a better water-solubility for more stability in vivo applications [27-28].
Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of FGDA

The schematic illustration of the fabrication of FGDA nanocubes presented in Scheme 1. The oleate metal mixtures as precursors were used to produce Fe₂O₃/Gd₂O₃-Oleic Acid (FGOA) nanocubes by thermal decomposition. In the procedure, reaction temperature and time both play important roles in the size of nanocubes. The FGOA were obtained by refluxing at 310°C for 30 min. From TEM pictures (Fig 1a, d), it can be seen that the size of cubic FGOA nanocubes are 7.44 ± 0.10 nm and they possess good monodispersity. After the treatment of ligand-exchange, the nanocubes still present good monodispersity, and the size of FGDA nanocubes (about 6.33 ± 0.09 nm), which looks a bit decrease compared to FGOA nanocubes (Fig 1b, e). It may be caused by the change in the surface of nanocubes when FGOA nanocubes were converted to FGDA nanocubes. EDS was performed to study the main element contributions and element distributions of the FGDA nanocubes. EDS spectrum shows mainly ferrum, gadolinium and oxygen elements in the nanocubes. No other impurity elements can be detected except carbon element, which contributes from carbon film on copper mesh. EDS mapping indicates that ferrum and gadolinium elements distribute uniformly in the FGDA nanocubes (Fig 1f, g). In addition, the HRTEM (Fig 1c) shows that the interplanar spacing of nanocubes is 0.296±0.02 nm, which corresponds to (220) crystal plane in Fe₃O₄ [29].
In order to further confirm the components of FGDA nanocubes, XRD analysis was carried out. As shown in Fig 1h, the major diffraction peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$ at (220), (422), (511), (440) and Gd$_2$O$_3$ at (400), (332), (533), (541) are consistent with the diffraction peaks of pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Gd$_2$O$_3$ [23, 30]. The results confirm the nanocubes belong to Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$ composites. According to the results, the Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Gd$_2$O$_3$ crystals uniformly distribute within FGDA nanocubes.
Figure 1: TEM and HRTEM images of (a) FGOA nanocubes, (b, c) FGDA nanocubes; size distribution of (d) FGOA nanocubes and (e) FGDA nanocubes; EDS spectrum of (f) FGDA nanocubes and EDS mapping of (g) Gd, Fe and merged in nanocubes, (h) XRD pattern of FGDA nanocubes, (i) FT-IR spectra of FGOA nanocubes (red line) and FGDA nanocubes (black line), (j) Field-dependent magnetization curves (M-H) of Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$ (black line) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ (red line) at 300 K.
The FGOA nanocubes exhibit hydrophobic property because of alkyl groups, which limits its application as contrast agent for in vivo use. Therefore, oleic acid on surface of FGOA was considered to be exchanged by DHCA to achieve hydrophilic surface using ligand-exchange method. FT-IR was conducted to verify the surface modifier of the nanocubes with or without DHCA exchange. By comparing the FT-IR spectra of FGOA nanocubes and FGDA nanocubes (Fig 1i), it can be observed that two samples display totally different characteristic absorption peaks. The characteristic absorption peaks of FGOA nanocubes at 2937 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2857 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the stretching vibration of –CH\(_3\) and –CH\(_2\), which indicates oleic acid indeed forms on FGOA nanocubes. The characteristic absorption peak of FGDA nanocubes at 1617 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to the stretching vibration of benzene ring skeleton, which indicates that DHCA successfully modifies FGDA nanocubes.

As shown in Fig 1j, the field-dependent magnetization (M-H) curves were conducted at 300 K to estimate the magnetic properties of FGDA nanocubes. Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocubes served as control. It shows that Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocubes and FGDA nanocubes are both superparamagnetic. The saturation magnetization of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocubes and FGDA nanocubes were 0.2132 emu g\(^{-1}\) and 0.7612 emu g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The latter exhibits much higher saturation magnetization than the former, which is probably due to the change of compound structure induced by the addition of gadolinium. The values of saturation magnetization are both low, which may be caused by the small size of nanocubes [31-32].

**Relaxation rate measurement**

To estimate the MR imaging contrast enhancement of FGDA nanocubes as T\(_1\)-T\(_2\) dual-mode contrast agent, MR imaging of FGDA nanocubes sample at different concentrations were conducted to measure \(r_1\) and \(r_2\). Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanocubes and Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\)
nanoparticles were scanned as control. Figure 2 a, and c, show that the MR enhancement of FGDA is better than that of Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles and Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocubes. To investigate the ability of FGDA nanocubes accurately, the $r_1$ value and $r_2$ value were calculated to be $67.57 \pm 6.2$ mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and $24.2 \pm 1.46$ mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, respectively. Both values present much higher than their control groups. Furthermore, the $r_1$ value are also higher than the former study [20], it may be caused by the special structure. The investigation confirms that the MR imaging enhancement of FGDA nanocubes containing Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Gd$_2$O$_3$ is significantly improved compared to pure Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles ($r_1 = 11.75 \pm 0.62$ mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) and pure Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocubes ($r_2 = 2.36 \pm 0.59$ mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). It demonstrates that the FGDA nanocubes can be applied in MR imaging as sensitive T$_1$-T$_2$ dual modal contrast agent.

**Figure 2:** T$_1$-weighted MR imaging of (a) FGDA nanocubes (top), Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles (bottom) and longitudinal relaxation rate $r_1$ of (b) FGDA nanocubes (black line) and Gd$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles (red line). T$_2$-weigted MR imaging of (a) FGDA nanocubes (top), Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocubes (bottom) and transverse relaxation rate $r_2$ of (b) FGDA nanocubes (black line) and Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanocubes (red line).
Biocompatibility

The toxicity of FGDA nanocubes to cells plays an important role in its application [33]. The CCK-8 assay was conducted to detect the viability of L929 cells. Figure 3a indicates that the cell viability of all FGDA nanocubes groups at 12 h have no significant difference compared to control group. The reason may be that L929 cells have to adapt to the medium containing FGDA nanocubes at the initial culturing stage. After culturing 24 h and 48 h, the cell viability of FGDA nanocubes with different Ferrum concentrations shows significantly higher than control group (p < 0.01), indicating FGDA nanocubes have no negative influence on the cell proliferation.

The lived-dead staining was performed to further confirm the cytotoxicity of FGDA nanocubes. From Figure 3b, c, it can be observed that the cellular morphology and proliferation of L929 cells stimulated by FGDA nanocubes have no significant differences compared to control group. The above illustrated investigations present that the FGDA have an excellent biocompatibility to L929 cells, which is the foundation of in vivo applications.
Figure 3: (a) CCK-8 Cell viability of L929 cells incubated with FGDA at different Fe concentrations for 12 h, 24 h and 48 h. (b) Live-Dead staining of control group and FGDA group (Fe concentration: 60μg/ml) after incubation of 12 h, 24 h, 48 h. (c)
Quantitative analysis of live cells as illustrated in (b). Scale bar: 100 μm

**In vivo MR imaging**

To explore MR imaging effect of FGDA nanocubes in vivo, $T_1$-weighted imaging ($T_1$WI) and $T_2$-weighted imaging ($T_2$WI) of SD rats were accomplished. After intravenous injection of FGDA nanocubes at a dose of 0.8 mg Fe/kg, FGDA nanocubes spread throughout the body along the blood flows. The region of interest we chose was lumbar muscle. As shown in Figure 4a~b, $T_1$WI is significantly enhanced after injection of FGDA nanocubes compared to the pre-injection images. The signal at 30 min ($\Delta$ SNR=5.94%) and 60 min ($\Delta$SNR=4.18%) post-injection of FGDA nanocubes have attenuated compared with the signal at 10 min post-injection of FGDA nanocubes ($\Delta$ SNR=10.9%). It indicates that $T_1$ effect of FGDA nanocubes show short-acting in muscle of SD rats. From the bottom images of Figure 4a~c, the signals after injection of FGDA nanocubes at 10 min ($\Delta$SNR=21.21%), 30 min ($\Delta$SNR=24.08%) and 60 min ($\Delta$SNR=32.34%) present higher than the pre-injection in $T_2$ MR imaging. Furthermore, the signals continue enhancement within an hour, suggesting that the $T_2$ effect of FGDA nanocubes exhibit long-acting. Prussian blue staining further confirms the presence of irons in lumbar muscles, indicating that the signal changes were induced by FGDA nanocubes (fig 4d). The above results prove that the FGDA nanocubes can be applied to diagnosis as $T_1$-$T_2$ dual modal contrast agent.
Figure 4: (a) $T_1$WI and $T_2$WI in vivo images of SD rats pre- and post-intravenous injection of FGDA nanocubes. (b) $T_1$ and (c) $T_2$ SNR change of SD rats pre- and post-intravenous injection of FGDA nanocubes, (d) Prussian blue staining image of lumber muscle on rats intravenously injected FGDA nanocubes, (e) H&E staining of heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney. Top images: Control group, bottom images: mouse with injection of FGDA nanocubes after 2 weeks. Scale Bar: 200 μm
Tissue staining

Organs of the rats after intravenous injection of FGDA nanocubes at a dose of 2 mg Fe/kg were harvested and fixed. The slices were stained by H&E stain agent to evaluate the toxicity of FGDA nanocubes. It is key point to determine whether FGDA nanocubes are safe for in vivo application. Figure 4e demonstrates that the FGDA nanocubes have no apparent side effects in major organs compared with control group, demonstrating that the FGDA nanocubes were safe.

Conclusion

Uniformly distributed FGDA nanocubes were synthesized by thermal decomposition method. The high $r_1$ value and $r_2$ value of FGDA nanocubes represent high quality of MRI enhancement. The cytotoxicity assay, live-dead staining investigation and histological staining study all demonstrate that the FGDA nanocubes possess excellent biocompatibility to cells and animals. The in vivo T1WI and T2WI images present the FGDA nanocubes have the excellent capability of enhancement effect as T1-T2 dual modal contrast agent in MR imaging. In conclusion, the results show FGDA nanocubes could be served as a potential molecular MRI indicator for both research and clinical diagnosis in future. In addition, a number of special modifications based on FGDA nanocubes will offer new strategies for the future study and clinical practice.

Experimental

Materials

Oleic acid (C$_{18}$H$_{34}$O$_2$, OA) and ferric trichloride (FeCl$_3$) were purchased from Tianjin Beichenfangzheng Chemical Reagent Factory. Hexane (C$_6$H$_{14}$), ethanol absolute (C$_2$H$_6$O), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and tetrahydrofuran (C$_4$H$_8$O, THF) were
purchased from Tianjin Kaitong Chemical Reagent Co, Ltd. Oleylamine (C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{37}N), 1-Octadecene(C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{36}), sodium oleate (C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{33}NaO\textsubscript{2}), 3,4-dihydroxyhydrocinnamic acid (C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{10}O\textsubscript{4}, DHCA) and Gadolinium acetate hexahydrate (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}GdO\textsubscript{7}) were purchased from Rhawn technology Co, Ltd. Ultrapure water was purified by Ulupure (UPR-II, China). All the reagents used in the experiments were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification.

**Synthesis of metal oleic precursor**

The synthesis methods were described in previous study [34]. 10 mmol Sodium oleate was dissolved in 60 ml ultrapure water and 20 ml ethanol, 5.0 mmol ferric trichloride, 1.0 mmol gadolinium chloride hexahydrate were dissolved in 20 ml ultrapure water in a beaker. Then, the mixture was added to a 250 ml three-necked flask drop by drop. The reaction mixture was heated and refluxed at 75\degree C for 4 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and added 20 ml hexane after accomplished. Then the mixture was transferred to a separating funnel, the organic phase in top was collected in a beaker and the aqueous phase in bottom was discarded. The collected organic phase was washed with water in a separating funnel. The obtained metal oleate complex was dried at 55\degree C for 24 h to form a ceraceous product. The ferric oleate was synthesized in the similar way.

**Synthesis of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}/Gd\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-OA (FGOA) nanocubes**

The uniformly distributed FGOA nanocubes were synthesized by one-step thermal decomposition. 1.12 g metal oleate precursor, 0.17 ml oleic acid and 15 ml 1-octadecene were added in a 250 ml three-necked flask. The reaction system was heated up to 200\degree C and kept the temperature for 30 min and then heated up to 310\degree C at a rate of 4\degree C/min and kept refluxing for 30 min. All procedures mentioned above were under nitrogen atmosphere. When the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, 80 ml ethanol was added into the mixture to precipitate the nanocubes.
And the nanocubes were collected by centrifuging at 8000 rotate per min (rpm) for 5 min. Then the obtained hydrophobic nanocubes were washed several times using ethanol and hexane. Finally, the obtained product, FGOA nanocubes, was resuspended in 3 ml hexane.

**Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$-OA nanocubes**

0.92 g Ferric oleate, 0.17 ml oleic acid and 15 ml 1-octadecene were added to a 250 ml three-necked flask. The reaction system was heated up to 200°C for 30 min and then heated up to 310°C at a rate of 4°C/min and kept refluxing for 30 min. The procedures were kept under nitrogen atmosphere. When the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, it should be added 80 ml ethanol to precipitate the nanocubes. And the nanocubes were collected by centrifuging at 8000 rpm for 5 min. Then the obtained hydrophobic nanocubes was washed several times using ethanol and hexane. Finally, the obtained product, Fe$_3$O$_4$-OA nanocubes, was resuspended in 3 ml hexane.

**Synthesis of Gd$_2$O$_3$-OA nanoparticles**

0.334 g Gadolinium acetate hexahydrate, 4 ml OA, 6 ml oleylamine and 10 ml 1-octadecene were added to a 250 ml three-necked flask. The reaction mixture was heated up to 100°C for 1 h under nitrogen atmosphere to remove the low volatile impurities and then heated up to 310°C at a heating rate of 4°C/min and kept refluxing for 30 min. When the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature, 80 ml ethanol was added to precipitate the nanoparticles. And the product was collected by centrifuging at 5000 rpm for 3 min. Then the obtained product was washed by ethanol and hexane. Finally, the obtained product, Gd$_2$O$_3$-OA nanoparticles, was resuspended in 3 ml hexane.

**Synthesis of aqueous Fe$_3$O$_4$/Gd$_2$O$_3$-DHCA (FGDA) nanocubes**
Briefly, 200 mg DHCA and 60 ml THF were added to a 250 ml three-necked flask under nitrogen, 100 mg FGOA nanocubes and 20 ml THF were added to a beaker. Then the solution in the beaker was added to a three-necked flask drop by drop under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated up to 50°C and kept refluxing for 4 h under nitrogen atmosphere. When the obtained mixture was cooled down to room temperature, 5 ml NaOH was added into mixture to form a precipitate and the products were collected by centrifuging at 10000 rpm for 10 min. Finally, the aqueous FGDA nanocubes were redispersed in 3 ml ultrapure water. The aqueous Fe$_3$O$_4$-DHCA nanocubes and Gd$_2$O$_3$-DHCA nanoparticles were synthesized in the similar methods.

**Characterizations**

High-resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM, JEM-2010F, Japan) operated at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV was used to investigate the morphology and size of nanocubes. The equipped energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Oxford, X-Max$^N$, UK) was used to analyze the element distribution of samples. X-ray diffraction (XRD, UltimalV, Japan; Cu K$\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5406\text{Å}$)) was employed to detect the crystal structure of nanocubes. The diffraction meter was operated at 40 kV, 30 mA. Scan was performed with 2θ value from 20° to 80° at a rate of 0.05° s$^{-1}$.

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra of samples were recorded in the wavenumber range of 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$ using reflection mode (Bruker Alpha, Germany). The Field-dependent magnetization (M-H) curves were obtained from physical property measurement system (Quantum Design, California, USA) at 300 K. Inductive coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, iCAP7400, Thermo Scientific, USA) was used to test the concentration of Fe and Gd. In vitro and in vivo MR images were obtained from a 3.0 T MRI scanner (MAGNETOM Skyra, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany).
The longitudinal ($r_1$) and transverse ($r_2$) relaxation rate of the samples were measured to evaluate the properties of the dual-mode contrast agents. The Fe$_3$O$_4$-DHCA at different concentrations (0, 20, 58, 74, 96 μg/ml) and Gd$_2$O$_3$-DHCA at different concentrations (0, 1.6, 4.8, 6, 8 μg/ml) were conducted as control groups. The nanoparticles were diluted in normal saline. The relaxation rate was measured on the 3.0 T MR scanner with a 15-channel knee coil using T$_1$-weighted imaging (TR/TE = 1000/11 ms) and T$_2$-weighted imaging (TR/TE = 4000/70 ms).

**Cytotoxicity assay**

L929 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at density of 1×10$^4$ cell per well and cultured with 200 μl Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Grand Island, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA) and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Thermo Fisher, USA) and incubated at 37°C with 5% carbon dioxide for 24 h. Then the culture medium was discarded and the cells were treated with 15, 30, 45, 60 μg/ml FGDA nanocubes, respectively. The cytotoxicity was evaluated by CCK-8 at 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h. The absorbance was detected using a microplate reader (Biorad iMark, USA) at the wavelength of 450 nm. Each group included six parallel samples.

To intuitively observe the cytotoxicity of FGDA nanocubes, live-dead staining was operated using Calcein AM (C1430, Thermo Fisher, USA) and Ethidium Homodimer 1 (E1169, Thermo Fisher, USA). Briefly, L929 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at a density of 3×10$^4$ cell per well. After 24 h incubation, the medium was exchanged with 1 ml cell medium containing 60 μg/ml FGDA nanocubes. The live-dead staining was performed after incubation of 12 h, 24 h and 48 h. Cells were observed by inverted phase contrast microscope (Nikon, TiS, Japan).

**In vivo MR imaging**
The animal protocol was complied with the regulation of experimental animal management of Shanxi Medical University. Three Sprague Dawley (SD) rats were employed to test the MR imaging effect in vivo of FGDA nanocubes. Under the 2% isoflurane inhalation, MR imaging were performed on rats. $T_1$WI and $T_2$WI were acquired by the turbo spin echo (TSE) sequence with the parameter of TR/TE = 550/14 ms and TR/TE = 2510/101 ms, respectively. After FGDA nanocubes were injected through tail vein at a dose of 0.8mg Fe/kg and MR imaging was performed at 10 min, 30 min, and 60 min post-injection.

**Histological staining**
Immediately after the MR imaging, the rats were euthanized by intravenous injection of overdosed chloral hydrate and the lumber muscles were harvested and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Then Prussian blue staining was performed.

**In vivo toxicity evaluation of FGDA nanocubes**
FGDA nanocubes were injected intravenously into rats at a dose of 2mg Fe/kg. After 2 weeks, the rats were euthanized by intravenous injection of overdosed chloral hydrate and the heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney were harvested and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Then the H&E staining were performed, SD rats of control were injected with normal saline intravenously.

**Data analysis**
The data were expressed as the means ± standard deviations. Statistical significance was assessed using the Student’s t-test, and the values were considered significant at $P < 0.05$. 
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