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#### Abstract

Buckybowls of $\mathrm{C}_{70}$ fragment having two alkoxy groups were synthesized and their structural and optical properties were investigated by single crystal X-ray analysis and UV-vis spectroscopy. In the synthesis of dioxole derivative $\mathbf{5 b}$, the regio isomer $\mathbf{5 c}$ was also produced. The yield of $\mathbf{5 c}$ was increased by increasing the reaction temperature, indicating that the rearrangement might involve the equilibrium between the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediates through the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation.
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## Introduction

The study of buckybowls, the bowl-shaped $\pi$-conjugated aromatic hydrocarbons corresponding to the fragments of fullerenes (buckybowls), pioneered by the works
on colannulene and sumanene, have been attracting great interests owing to their unique chemical and physical properties [1-8] and has extended to larger systems [917]. Among them, buckybowls having $\mathrm{C}_{70}$ fragment are expected to exhibit different properties from that with $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ fragment because most of them consists of acene and/or pyrene units, which might give unique photochemical and electrochemical properties. Recently, we synthesized a buckybowl $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathbf{1}$, which is corresponding to $40 \%$ fragment of $\mathrm{C}_{70}$, from $\mathrm{C}_{60}$-fragment sumanene (2) in three steps via ring expansion by Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement, followed by the Pd-catalyzed annulation (Scheme 1) [18]. UV-vis spectroscopy study revealed that the electronic character of 1 rather resembled that of indenopyrene moiety than that of benzopyrene. Our synthetic route allows to easily introduce substituents on the external aromatic ring of the indenopyrene using various type of o-bromo arylaldehydes. Related to our study on the buckybowl-containing liquid crystals [19], we planned to introduce alkoxy groups on $\mathbf{1}$ framework. Here we report the synthesis and characterization of dimethoxy derivative $\mathbf{5 a}$ and dioxole derivative $\mathbf{5 b}$ together with an unexpected regio isomer 5c.
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Figure 1: Structure of the target buckybowls 5a-c.

## Results and Discussion

## Synthesis of dialkoxides 5a-c

Dialkoxides 5a-5c were prepared according to the previous report on the synthesis of 1 (Scheme 1) [18, 20]. The benzylic carbanion generated by the addition of 130 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{n}$-BuLi to $\mathbf{2}$ in THF at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $150 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the corresponding aryl aldehydes to afford 3a and 3b quantitatively. The Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement from $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ to $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b} \mathbf{b y} 120 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $p$-TsOH in toluene under reflux condition also occurred quantitatively. The final cyclization of 4a was carried out using $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $150 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$ in DMF at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation conditions to afford the desired dimethoxy derivative 5a in $75 \%$ yield. In contrast, when the reaction of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was performed, not only the desired product $5 \mathbf{b}$ but also the unexpected regio isomer $5 \mathbf{c}$ was also obtained. The temperature dependency on the product ratio between $5 \mathbf{b}$ and $5 \mathbf{c}$ was investigated and the results are shown in Table 1. The cyclization did not proceed under $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the total yield is low ( $41 \%$ after 40 min microwave irradiation) but the ratio of $\mathbf{5 b}$ was the highest $(5 \mathbf{b} / 5 \mathbf{c}=10 / 1)$. The reaction efficiency was high at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reach to $80 \%$ total yield, and the ratio of $5 \mathbf{b} / 5$ c was $10 / 3$. By increasing the temperature, the ratio of $5 \mathbf{c}$ was increased although the total yield was decreased. increasing the temperature. It should be noted that the conversion between $\mathbf{5 b}$ and 5 c under the same conditions was not observed.
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Scheme 1: Synthesis of dialkoxides 5a-c.
Table 1: The change of $\mathbf{5 b} / \mathbf{5 c}$ ratio in the product mixture at various temperatures.

| Reaction <br> Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield (\%) | 5b/5c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | 41 | $10 / 1$ |
| 150 | 80 | $10 / 3$ |
| 160 | 67 | $10 / 4$ |
| 170 | 77 | $10 / 5$ |
| 180 | 50 | $10 / 7$ |

The above results strongly suggested the existence of the equilibrium between the intermediates corresponding to each products $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $5 \mathbf{c}$. The possible mechanism is shown in Scheme 2. After the oxidative addition of $\mathbf{4 b}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to generate the intermediate $\mathbf{A}$, further oxidative addition might occur to form the $\mathrm{Pd}^{4+}$ species $\mathbf{B}$, from which the normal product $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ was produced after the reductive elimination of
$\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sp} 2)-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sp} 2)$ bond formation and HBr elimination. When the reductive elimination of the C(sp2)@benzodioxole-H took place (intermediate A'), another opportunity of the C-H activation at the ortho position from dioxole unit might appear to generate the intermediate $\mathbf{C}$, giving the regio isomer $\mathbf{5 c}$.



Scheme 2: Proposed mechanism of the formation of 5b and 5c.

## Crystal structures of 5a-c

Single crystals of 5a-c were successfully obtained by vapour diffusion method using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ hexane conditions. Figure 2 shows the crystal structure of $\mathbf{5 a}$. The crystal was obtained as a racemic compound containing a pair of two enantiomers defined by bowl chirality [21], as a result of the rapid bowl inversion under the crystallization conditions. 5a formed columnar structure with alternative stack in convex-to-concave manner along the $b$ axis with the overlap of the half part of the bowl structure (Figure 2b). All the columns along the a axis possessed the same stacking direction, while the neighboring columns along the $c$ axis were in opposite directions (Figure 2c). Although relatively low diffraction data quality prohibited the detailed discussion
about the interaction distances, both $\pi-\pi(\mathrm{C} 9 \cdots \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 5 \cdots \mathrm{C} 10)$ and $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi(\mathrm{C} 11 \cdots \mathrm{C} 11)$ interactions were confirmed within the column. These columns were further connected with the neighboring columns which possessed the same stacking direction (along the a axis) by $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$ interactions (C16 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 23, \mathrm{C} 16 \cdots \mathrm{C} 19, \mathrm{C} 29 \cdots \mathrm{C} 3$, C29‥C15), while connected to the columns with opposite stacking direction via $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ type weak hydrogen bonds (C13ㅇㅇ1) along the $c$ axis (Figure 2 c ).


Figure 2: Crystal structure of 5a. a) ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically independent unit with thermal ellipsoid at 50\% probability b) Packing structure viewed from the $a$ axis and $c$ ) from the $b$ axis. The dotted lines indicate; blue: $\pi-\pi$, grey: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$, red: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions. In b) and c), hydrogen atoms which are not engaged in any interactions are omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Experimental POAV angles and bowl depths of $\mathbf{5 b}$ at the specific focused carbons.

| Molecule | POAV angle $\varphi /^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | Bowl depth/Å |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | benzylic |  | aromatic |  |  |  |
| 5b | C1 | 6.5 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { b} C 3 \\ \mathrm{C} 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \\ & 7.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | C6 | 0.81 | C9 | 0.80 |
|  | C2 | 6.7 |  |  | C6 | 0.84 0.80 | C7A | 0.89 | C11 | 0.89 |
|  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ C3 | 6.7 |  |  | C9 | 0.80 | C8B | 0.88 | C12 | 0.84 |

a: calculated using $\angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \angle \mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2, \angle \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$
b: calculated using $\angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{~B}, \angle \mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2, \angle \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$

5b also gave the mixture of the two enantiomers, however they were disordered with $50 \%$ site occupancy (Figure 2a). The POAV ( $\pi$-orbital axis vector) pyramidalization angle $\varphi$ [22], which is often used for quantifying the curvature of curved $\pi$-conjugated materials (Figure 4a) showed $6.5^{\circ}$ at C 1 as the minimum value, and $7.3^{\circ}$ at C 3 , which is surrounded by two hexagonal rings and one pentagonal ring, as the maximum value, while the none-substituted 1 shows $6.2^{\circ}$ and $7.6^{\circ}$, respectively (Table 2) [18]. Bowl depths, defined by the length of the perpendicular lines (Figure 4b, doubleheaded arrow) from its peripheral carbons to the bottom hexagonal ring's plane (Figure 4c, red coloured part) in 5b were $0.80 \sim 0.84 \AA$ from the peripheral benzylic carbons and $0.80 \sim 0.89 \AA \AA$ from the peripheral aromatic carbons, respectively, while $0.74 \sim 0.79 \AA$ and $0.79 \sim 0.99 \AA$ in 1, respectively (Table 2) [18]. As observed in the crystal of $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{5 b}$ formed convex-to-concave type stacking columns along the $c$ axis while the stacking mode was eclipsed manner, in which molecular skeletons were completely overlapped (Figure 3b, c). The stacking directions of the columns were alternatively changed along the $b$ axis. Unlike 5a, the stacking columns in $\mathbf{5 b}$ were exclusively stabilized by $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$ interactions (C6 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 6: 3.77 \AA$, C9 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 9: 3.77 \AA$, C16‥C17: $3.51 \AA$ ) (Figure 3b). These columns were further connected to the
neighboring columns by $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ type hydrogen bonds (C9 $\cdots \mathrm{O} 1: 3.32 \AA$ ) along the $b$ axis and $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$ interactions (C17 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 5: 3.60 \AA$ ) along the $c$ axis (Figure 3c).
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Figure 3: Crystal structure of 5b. a) ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically independent unit with thermal ellipsoid at 50\% probability b) Packing structure viewed from the $b$ axis and c) from the a axis. The dotted lines indicate; grey: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$, red: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions. In b) and c), hydrogen atoms which are not engaged in any interactions and the contribution of the one enantiomer are omitted for clarity.

b)
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Figure 4: a) Definition of POAV angle ( $\varphi$ ). b) Side and c) top view of the molecular skeleton of 1. The double-headed arrow show the perpendicular line from the peripheral carbons to the bottom hexagonal ring coloured in c). In b) and c), pink colored atoms are benzylic, and blue colored ones are aromatic carbons.

In the crystal structure of 5c, two crystallographically independent units were observed (Figure 5a). 5c also contained both of the enantiomers and formed columnar structure along the $b$ axis with the slipped stack manner, which was composed of only one side of the enantiomer (Figure 5b, c). The columns with the same stacking direction were arranged along the a axis, while alternative stacking direction was observed along the $c$ axis. Although relatively low diffraction data quality prohibited the detailed discussion about the interaction distances, the stabilization of the 1-dimensional stacking column of 5 c by both $\pi-\pi$ (C5 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 44$, C27 $\cdots \mathrm{C} 54, \mathrm{C} 14 \cdots \mathrm{C} 44, \mathrm{C} 12 \cdots \mathrm{C} 43$ ) and $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi(\mathrm{C} 11 \cdots \mathrm{C} 42, \mathrm{C} 42 \cdots \mathrm{C} 9)$ was clearly observed (Figure 4b, c). As found in the other two, the stacking columns in 5c crystal were also further connected each other by both $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$ interaction ( $\mathrm{C} 58 \cdots \mathrm{C} 38$, $\mathrm{C} 37 \cdots \mathrm{C} 50$ ) and $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ type hydrogen bonds (C37 $\cdots \mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{C} 11 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{C} 40 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ ) (Figure $5 \mathrm{c})$.
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Figure 5: Crystal structure of 5c. a) ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically independent unit with thermal ellipsoid at 50\% probability b) Packing structure viewed from the $b$ axis and $c$ ) from the $c$ axis. The dotted lines indicate; blue: $\pi-\pi$, grey: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$, red: $\mathrm{CH} \cdots$ O interactions. In b) and c), hydrogen atoms which are not engaged in any interactions are omitted for clarity.

Photophysical properties of the dialkoxides were investigated by UV-vis and emission spectroscopies (Figure 6). UV-vis spectra of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ well reflected the electric property of 1, showing two strong bands observed at around 280-300 nm and 330350 nm , and broad one at around 350-480 nm, which was attributable to the indenopyrene moiety of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 6a) [18]. Meanwhile, 5a and 5b showed emission bands at 564 nm and 566 nm , respectively, which were red shifted around 50 nm from that of 1, clearly indicating the effect of the introduction of dialkoxides (Figure 6b). In contrast, 5c exhibited different features in both UV-vis and emission spectra from the other two. In UV-vis spectrum of 5c, the splitted sharp absorptions at 266 and 287 nm and broad band at 320 nm together with relatively strong broad band at

409 nm . The emission spectrum of $\mathbf{5 c}$ was similar to that of $\mathbf{1}$ rather than those of $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$. These difference indicated the substitution position of the dialkoxides significantly affected the electric nature of the molecules even though 5a-5c possess the same molecular skeleton of 1.


Figure 6: a) UV-vis spectra and b) emission spectra of 1 and dialkoxides 5a-5c. For all the spectra, concentration was $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Excitation wavelength: 332 nm for $\mathbf{1 ; 3 4 5 n m}$ for $\mathbf{5 a} ; 335 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $\mathbf{5 b} ; 319 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $\mathbf{5 c}$.

## Conclusion

As described above, we succeeded in synthesizing three different alkoxy-substituted C70-fragment buckybowls 5a-c. In particular, 5c was not intended molecule, but was formed unexpectedly through the rearrangement through the Pd -catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation reaction. X-ray crystal structure analysis of 5a-5c clearly revealed there nature in the solid state to form 1-dimensional columnar structure stabilised by $\pi-\pi$ and/or $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \pi$ interactions with full or partial overlap of the molecular skeleton as seen in the crystal structure of $\mathbf{1}$, however, each packing fashion is different
dependent on the substituent. UV-vis and emission spectra of $\mathbf{5 a - 5 c}$ well showed the effect of the introduction of the dialkoxides onto the skeleton of $\mathbf{1}$, in which substitution position also contributed to their electric properties. These results give us a lot of suggestions for the further investigation to design the buckybowl-containing liquid crystals [19].

## Experimental

## General

All experiments with moisture- and air-sensitive compounds were performed in anhydrous solvents under argon atmosphere in flame-dried glassware. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Microwave experiment was carried out with a Biotage Inisiator Eight EXP. UV/vis absorption spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-670 spectrometer and SHIMADZU UV-1800 spectrometer. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a JASCO FP-6500 spectrometer. Melting points were determined on a Stanford Research Systems MPA 100 or a Yanako MP-500P and were uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT IR-4100 spectrometer using dispersed KBr pellets or an ATR accessory with a diamond crystal. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were measured on a JEOL REASONANCE JNM-ECZ400S spectrometer at 400 MHz and 100 MHz , respectively. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as a solvent and the residual solvent peaks were used as an internal standard ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ $7.26 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 77.00 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. High-resolution fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were measured on a JEOL JMS-700 spectrometer. TLC analysis was performed using Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$, and the preparative TLC (PTLC) purification was conducted using Wakogel B-5F PTLC plates. Elemental
analyseswere measured on a J-Science Micro corder JM10 at the Analysis Center in Osaka University.

## Addition reaction

To a solution of $2(0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise $n$-BuLi in hexane solution ( 0.36 mmol ) at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the stirring for 10 min , to the reaction mixture was added arylaldehyde $(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 5 $\min$, warmed up to room temperature and quenched by sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aq. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The mixture was purified by PTLC (ethyl acetate:hexane =1:4) to afford 3.

## General Experimental Procedure for Rearrangement Reaction

To the mixture of $3(0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid $(0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added a dry toluene ( 1 mL ). The mixture was refluxed with stirring for 1 h . After addition of sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \mathrm{aq}$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduce pressure. The mixture was purified by PTLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:\right.$ hexane $\left.=3: 2\right)$ to afford 4 .

## Preparation of dialkoxides by intramolecular coupling reaction

In a glove box, to the microwave vial was added $4(0.030 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.0$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$ and degassed DMF ( 3 mL ) and the vial was sealed. DBU ( $6.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 40 min at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of ethyl acetate/hexane, the mixture was washed with water (3 times) and brine (3 times), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.

The mixture was purified by PTLC (only $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:$ hexane $=1: 3$ for $\mathbf{5 b}$ and 5 c) to afford both 5 as yellow solid.

## Characterization data

3a: yellow solid; yield: >99\%. m.p.: $133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) v: 3438, 3003, 2922, 2841, 1502, 1398, 1257, 1213, 1159, 1032, $798 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.39 (dd, $J=19.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,3,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 41.84,41.86,56.26$, $61.75,74.38,111.05,112.33,115.09,117.61,123.37,123.46,123.54,124.18$, 125.81, 133.59, 147.30, 147.91, 148.45, 148.61, 148.63, 148.73, 148.75, 148.81, 148.85, 148.89, 148.95, 149.86, 149.99. FAB MS $m / z$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$: 508.0674. Found: 508.0665.

3b: yellow solid; yield: >99\%. m.p.: $133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) v: 3546, 3041, 3014, 2889, 1502, 1475, 1398, 1236, 1038, 931, $795 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $)^{2}: \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.74$ (d, $J=$ $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 41.86,61.77,74.59,101.96,108.41,112.56,113.04,123.46$, 123.50, 124.23, 125.76, 135.09, 147.45, 147.63, 147.83, 147.91, 148.66, 148.77, 148.78, 148.82, 148.91, 149.94, 149.98. FAB MS $m / z$ Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$: 492.0361. Found: 492.0364.

4a: yellow solid; yield: >99\%. m.p.: $186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) v: 3018, 2929, 2897, 2839, 1601, $1498,1437,1375,1327,1244,1209,1167,1020,791 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ (ppm) 3.86 (s, 3H), $3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ (ppm) 42.00, 42.10, 56.22, 56.41, 114.27, 114.63, 115.64, 123.19, 123.99, 124.07, 124.17, 124.36, 125.55, 125.78, 127.54, 130.30, 130.51, 133.40, 139.10, 140.43, 140.58, 141.07, 141.17, 143.71, 143.85, 143.91, 148.36, 149.18. FAB MS m/z Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]: 490.0568$. Found: 490.0569.

4b: yellow solid; yield: >99\%. m.p.: $124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) v: 3026, 2897, 1469, 1383, 1223, 1043, 930, $796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 41.98,42.09,102.09,111.84,113.02,114.93,123.06,123.97$, $124.05,124.14,124.32,125.53,125.74,127.61,130.21,130.47,134.48,139.06$, $140.36,140.55,141.00,141.03,141.09,141.14,143.72,143.85,143.89,147.47$, 148.16. FAB MS m/z Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]: 474.0255$. Found: 474.0258 .

5a: yellow solid; yield: 75\%. m.p.: $281^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). IR (KBr) v: 2929, 2889, 2831, 1606, 1473, 1392, 1290, 1205, 1163, 1053, 858, $783 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.05$ (s, 6H), $4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 41.49,42.71,56.29,56.36,104,74$, 105.96, 118.02, 120.62, 122.81, 124.10, 124.88, 126.57, 127.01, 127.39, 132.12,
134.43, 135.27, 135.41, 135.98, 138.80, 142.79, 143.80, 144.33, 145.96, 146.45, 146.50, 147.61, 148.27, 148.34, 149.59. E.A. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.5}$ C $85.90 \%$, H 4.57\%, Found: C 85.75\%, H 4.92\%.

5b: yellow solid; yield: 80\% (as 10:3 mixture with $\mathbf{5 c}$ (reaction temp. $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )). m.p.: 270 ㅇC (dec.). IR (KBr) v: 3041, 3006, 2920, 2887, 1460, 1390, 1286, 1159, 1038, 943, 850, $785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ (ppm) 41.62, 42.71, 101.57, 102.48, 103.53, 118.12, 120.91, 122.84, 124.11, 124.91, 126.52, 127.14, 127.35, 133.56, 134.33, 134.97, 135.17, 136.92, 137.60, 142.83, 143.91, 144.30, 14436, 146.10, 146.50, 146.90, 148.11, 148.29. E.A. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.4}$ : $\mathrm{C} 86.73 \%, \mathrm{H} 3.71 \%$, Found: C 86.74\%, H 3.55\%.

5c: yellow solid; yield: $80 \%$ (as 10:3 mixture with 5 b (reaction temp. $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )). m.p.: $267{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). IR (KBr) v: 2877, 1647, 1469, 1429, 1236, 1097, 1047, 933, $802 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl 3 ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 41.66,42.70,101.61,105.80,115.76,120.56,120.63,123.14$, 124.09, 124.92, 126.57, 126.84, 127.41, 131.62, 134.49, 134.58, 135.66, 137.77, 139.70, 142.28, 142.78, 144.00, 144.11, 144.42, 145.65, 146.45, 146.51, 148.02, 148.52. E.A. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{0.4}$ : $\mathrm{C} 86.73 \%, \mathrm{H} 3.71 \%$, Found: $\mathrm{C} 86.58 \%, \mathrm{H}$ 3.50\%.

## Single crystal X-ray analysis

T he diffraction data for $5 \mathbf{5}$ and 5 c were collected on a Rigaku FR-E Superbright rotating-anode X-ray source with a Mo-target $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) equipped with a Rigaku RAXIS VII imaging plate as the detector at 150 K in house. The diffraction images processsing and absorption correction were performed by using RIGAKU RAPID AUTO.

The diffraction data for 5b was recorded on an ADSC Q210 CCD area detector with a synchrotron radiation $(\lambda=0.70000 \AA$ ) at 2D beamline in Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL). The diffraction images were processed by using HKL3000. Absorption correction was performed with the program PLATON.

All the structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXT-2014, 2015 (for 5a, 5b) or XS (for $\mathbf{5 c}$ )) and refined by full-matrix least squares calculations on $F^{2}$ (SHELXL2015) using the Olex2 program package.

5a: $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, orthorhombic, space group pbca (No. 61), $a=17.382(4) \AA, b=$ $7.290(2) \AA, c=28.978(6) \AA, V=3672(1) \AA^{3}, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.485 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, Z=8,925$ reflections out of 4205 with $1>2 \sigma(I)$, 291 parameters, $3.65^{\circ}<\theta<15.71^{\circ}, R_{1}=0.1319$, $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2925, \mathrm{GOF}=0.903$.
 $15.097(3) \AA, c=14.845(3) \AA, \beta=113.312(3)^{\circ}, V=845.1(3) \AA^{3}, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.550 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, $Z=4,2296$ unique reflections out of 2458 with $>2 \sigma(\Lambda), 166$ parameters, $1.92^{\circ}<\theta<30.03^{\circ}, R_{1}=0.0718, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2346, \mathrm{GOF}=1.153$.

5c: $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14), $a=17.274$ (4) $\AA$, $b=7.441$ (2) $\AA$ A $c=27.913(6) \AA, \beta=90.85(3)^{\circ}, V=3526(1) \AA^{3}, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.486 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, Z=4,2351$ unique reflections out of 7995 with $>2 \sigma\left(\right.$ ), 253 parameters, $3.03^{\circ}<\theta<27.37^{\circ}, R_{1}=$ $0.1217, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1498, \mathrm{GOF}=1.000$.

CCDC 1981719 (5a), 1981720 (5b) and 1981721 (5c) contain the crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/).

## Supporting Information

Supporting Information File 1:
CIF file for the single crystal data of $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{c}$.
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