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Abstract

A series of stiff stilbene macrocycles have been studied to investigate the possible impact of macrocycle ring size on their photo-dynamic properties. The results show that reducing the ring size counteracts the photoisomerization ability of the macrocycles. However, even the smallest macrocycle studied (stiff stilbene subunits linked by a six carbon chain) showed some degree of isomerization when irradiated. DFT calculations of the energy differences between the $E$- and $Z$-isomers show the same trend as the experimental results. Interestingly the DFT study highlights that the energy difference between the $E$- and $Z$-isomers of even the largest macrocycle (linked by a twelve carbon chain) is significantly higher than that of the stiff stilbene unit itself. In general, it is indicated that addition of even a flexible chain to the stiff stilbene unit may significantly affect its photochemical properties and increase the photostability of the resulting macrocycle.
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Introduction

The stiff stilbene (SS) molecule has drawn a lot of interest due to its photo-dynamic properties.¹ Stiff stilbenes typically undergo photoisomerization from \( Z \) to \( E \) at 300 nm and from \( E \) to \( Z \) at 360 nm (Scheme 1).² This feature has made it a useful building block of photo-dynamic triggers, switches and machines.³⁻¹¹ The interplay between the forces involved in the switching action and the pull from groups attached to the stiff stilbene has been investigated, e. g. as molecular force probes.¹²⁻¹⁶ While these do incorporate other isomerizable units in addition to stiff stilbene, we were interested in the effect that the length of an n-alkane chain connecting the two halves of stiff stilbene might have. Our group has recently reported a SS-based bis-metalloporphyrin molecular tweezer that binds ditopically to guest molecules.¹⁷,¹⁸ This kind of complex would behave like a macrocycle upon photoisomerization, arising the question whether it might be possible to predict such photoisomerizability and to relate it to the length of a ditopically bound guest molecule connecting the two metallocorphyrin units.

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1:** The stiff stilbene photoisomerization from \( Z \) to \( E \) and vice versa by irradiation at 300 nm and 360 nm respectively.
To investigate the photoisomerization ability of the stiff stilbene as a macrocycle segment a series of model compounds were chosen (Figure 1). To keep the system as simple as possible the SS was attached to an aliphatic carbon chain via ether groups. Four different lengths of carbon chain were used, with distances between the terminal carbons of 6.4 Å (C₆), 8.9 Å (C₈), 11.4 Å (C₁₀) and 13.9 Å (C₁₂). The SS-macrocycles have been studied both experimentally and by computational techniques.

![Figure 1: The investigated SS-macrocycles Z-1a-Z-1d](image)

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis**

The synthesis of the macrocycles was based on well-established reactions (Scheme 2). The indanone is formed by intramolecular Friedel-Crafts acylation of 2 under microwave radiation as reported by Oliviero et. al.¹⁹ The reported high yields were readily reproduced in small scale reactions but we noted a clear drop in yield when scaling up. Initial investigations suggest that high concentration mixtures promote formation of by-products. Keeping the reaction mixture at the same concentration when scaling up is probably essential to receive a high yield. The second step is the de-methylation of indanone methyl ether 3 by aluminium trichloride in toluene at
reflux. Two indanone units are then attached to an \( n \)-alkanediyl linker using a Williamson ether synthesis to yield the diethers 6a – d. Finally, the stiff stilbene unit is formed by an intramolecular McMurry reaction resulting in 1a - d\(^{21,22}\). The \( Z \)-isomer is formed in huge excess in these reactions and any trace amounts of \( E \)-isomer are removed during purification. Worth noting is that slow addition of the starting material is vital in the final step to avoid the formation of by-products from intermolecular coupling.
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**Scheme 2:** Synthetic route to SS-macrocycles. i. (1) Triflic acid (3 eq.), DCM (dry), Ar atm, MW (110 °C, 1h), (2) \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (0°C). ii. (1) \( \text{AlCl}_3 \) (3 eq.), toluene (dry), Δ 1.5h, (2) \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). iii. (1) \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \) (4 eq.), TBAB (0.2 eq.), DMF (dry), Ar atm, MW (150 °C, 20 min). iv. (1) \( \text{TiCl}_4 \) (3 eq.), Zn powder (6 eq.), THF, Δ, 12h.

**Photoisomerization**

Photoisomerizing the \( Z-1a - 1d \) to the \( E-1a - 1d \) isomers requires to stretch the linker (Scheme 3). The isomerization was achieved by irradiation of a degassed solution of \( Z-1a - 1d \) in chloroform or deuterated chloroform using either a 280 or 300 nm filter. The conversion was followed by UV/vis or \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy. Compounds were
irradiated until increase in isomerization yield could no longer be observed. See the ESI for details.

Scheme 3: The photoisomerization of the stiff stilbene macrocycles if successful will stretch the linker.

To set the results of this photoisomerization into perspective a non-cyclic stiff stilbene was used as a reference (Scheme 4). The photodynamic properties of this compound have been reported previously.23

Scheme 4: Non-cyclic stiff stilbene diester 7 used as reference in the photoisomerization study.

The $E$ and $Z$ isomers give distinctively separated chemical shifts for the CH$_2$-protons next to the double bond. This makes the determination of the $Z/E$ ratio straightforward. The composition of the photo-stable mixtures as compared to the non-cyclic reference is presented in Figure 2. As the linker chain gets shorter the $E$-isomer becomes less favoured. What is particularly interesting is that even with the longest chain of twelve carbons a significantly lower amount of $E$-isomer as compared to the reference is obtained. Clearly even a loose linking chain has a considerable effect on the system.
Figure 2: The photoisomerization of the SS-macrocycles show a clear correlation between the Z/E ratio in the photo-stable mixture and the linker length. The non-cyclic SS-diesters 7 is included as a reference.

Computations

Relative energies of E/Z-isomers

The Gibbs free energies of Z-1a - 1d and E-1a - 1d were calculated at the DFT level using the B3LYP functional with the 6-31G(d,p) basis set and SCRF-SMD solvent model (DCM). The difference in Gibbs free energy (ΔG, Figure 3) between the E- and Z-isomers shows a trend reminiscent of the photoisomerization results (Figure 2), i.e. shorter chain lengths result in larger ΔG as well as in larger Z:E ratios.
Figure 3: Energy differences ($\Delta G$) between $Z$- and $E$-isomers of $1a$ - $1d$ and of the reference compound $7$ calculated using B3LYP. The results show a pronounced effect of linker length on the energy difference between $Z$- and $E$-isomers.

When comparing the experimental $E/Z$ ratios with the calculated $E/Z$ ratios based on the Gibbs free energies differences between $E$- and $Z$-isomers a logarithmic correlation is obtained, as would be expected for an equilibrium Boltzmann distribution under photostationary conditions (Figure 4).

Figure 4: The ratios of $E/Z$ isomers based on the Gibbs free energy differences (au) and the experimental $E/Z$ ratios from the photostationary mixtures (%) show a logarithmic correlation with an $R^2 = 0.94$. 
**Conformational analysis**

To obtain further information regarding the reason for the observed photoisomerization properties of the macrocyclic stiff stilbene diethers, a conformational analysis was undertaken (Figure 5).

*Figure 5:* Conformer ensembles for the macrocyclic stiff stilbene diethers 1a – 1d. Dihedral angles between the two aromatic rings are given in parentheses.

According to X-ray crystallography, in compound *E*-7 the aromatic rings of the two indane units are in the same plane (dihedral angle 180°), whereas in *Z*-7 this angle is 9.1°. In the macrocyclic diethers 1a – 1d, all *Z*-isomers have a dihedral angle of 12° – 14°, roughly similar to the one in the crystal structure of *Z*-7. The deviation of
this angle from 0° is due to steric interaction between two aromatic protons in position 4 (Figure 8). In the E-isomers, an increasing distortion of the stiff stilbene segment with decreasing ring size is indicated by the substantial deviation of the dihedral angle from 180°. Furthermore, the alkyl chains adopt more similar conformations in the E-isomers with stretched alkyl chains. In the Z-isomers, the alkyl chains adopt a larger variety of conformations. This might add an entropy penalty for the E-isomers.

**Interatomic distances from NOE buildup rates**

Interatomic distances, derived from NOE buildup rates, are summarized in Figure 6. Signal overlap prevented an analysis accounting for the presence of an ensemble of conformers such as NAMFIS.\(^{31,32}\) For example, each CH\(_2\) signal is generated by four CH\(_2\) protons which are chemically equivalent in the averaged chemical structure (≈ the 2D molecular structure) but not in individual conformers. They cannot be distinguished on the NMR timescale. Therefore, the calculated distances are, being averages with contributions from all conformers, are biased for shorter distances, i.e.

\[
r_{\text{ave}} = \left(\frac{1}{r^6}\right) \quad \text{instead of} \quad r_{\text{ave}} = \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle^6}.\]

\(^{33}\) However, they still should allow a comparison between the different compounds Z-1a – 1d. Thus, increased conformational flexibility is indicated by increasing distances from Z-1a to 1d for methylene protons further along the chain, such as distance 4 and distance 5 (Figure 5).
Conclusion

A series of novel stiff stilbene macrocycles has been synthesised and used to investigate the effect of ring size on the photoisomerization of the stiff stilbene unit. Both experimental photoisomerization and DFT calculations show that the strain of the linking chain affects the isomerization even for the longest chains. As stiff stilbene is gaining popularity as a unit in molecular machines and photo-dynamic systems a clear understanding of the effect of cyclisation on the photoisomerization should be of general interest.

Experimental

Starting materials, solvents and reagents were commercially available and used without further purification except dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate, pentane, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene that were distilled before use. N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was used as supplied (biotech. grade, ≥ 99.9%). Unless
stated differently, all reactions were carried out under atmospheric pressure and with argon atmosphere.

Microwave (MW) heating was carried out in a Biotage+ Initiator microwave instrument using 10-20 ml Biotech MW vials, applying MW irradiation at 2.45 GHz, with a power setting up to 40 W and an average pressure of 4-5 bar when DCM was the solvent and 90 W / 1 bar when the solvent was DMF. Analytical TLC was performed using Merck precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates and visualized with UV light and Hannessian’s stain (5% ammonium molybdate, 1% cerium sulfate and 10% sulfuric acid in water). Flash chromatography (CC) was performed over Matrex silica gel (60 Å, 35-70 µm) on a regular column or on a Grace Reveleris X2 Flash Chromatography System.

1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Mercury Plus (1H at 300.03 MHz), Agilent 400-MR DD2 (1H at 399.98 MHz, 13C at 100.58 MHz), Varian Unity Inova (1H at 499.94 MHz) and Bruker Avance Neo (1H at 500.15 MHz, 13C at 125.78 MHz) spectrometers at 25°C. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm referenced indirectly to tetramethylsilane via the residual solvent signal (CDCl3, 1H at 7.26 and 13C at 77.0 ppm). Coupling constants are given in Hz. Signal assignments were derived from 1H, 13C, gCOSY, gTOCSY, gHSQC, gHMBC, and gNOESY spectra.

Experimental conditions for NOE buildup experiments: gradient enhanced NOESY spectra were obtained for non-degassed solutions (16 – 46 mM) in CDCl3 solution at 25°C, 400 MHz, mixing times = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 s. The distance between aromatic ortho protons (H-6 and H-7 in Figure 8) was used as reference distance rref at 2.51 Å. Volume integrals for NOESY diagonal and cross peaks were measured for
mixing times during the linear NOE buildup phase. Next, for each signal pair A/B with a NOESY cross peak an average cross peak volume was calculated from measured volume integrals as:

\[
\text{average volume} = \sqrt{\frac{(\text{cross peak}_{AB} \times \text{cross peak}_{BA})}{(\text{diagonal peak } A \times \text{diagonal peak } B)}}
\]

The slope \( \sigma \) from the plot of average volume vs. mixing time was determined and from it the distance \( r_{AB} \) calculated assuming \( r_{AB} = r_{\text{ref}} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{ref}}}{\sigma_{AB}} \right)^{1/6} \).

Mass spectra were obtained on an Advion Expression-L CMS with APCI+ interface. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive instrument in APCI positive mode. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-1650PC spectrophotometer using 10mm quartz cuvettes. Photoisomerizations were performed using an Oriel 1000 W Xe ARC light source equipped with a band pass filter 10BPF10-300 or 10BPF10-280 (Newport).

**Computational details**

The DFT calculations on the stiff stilbene macrocycles were performed with the B3LYP functional as implemented in the Gaussian 16 program package.\(^{24,25}\) The SCRF solvent model with the SMD variation was used with DCM as solvent.\(^{26,27,28,29}\) Geometries were optimized using the 6-31G(d,p) basis set.\(^{30}\) Frequency calculations were performed at the same level to confirm that a minimum had been reached and to extract free energy corrections which were evaluated at 298.15 K. A stability analysis was performed to ensure that a stable wave-function was attained for all species.
Conformational analysis of the stiff stilbene macrocycles were calculated in MacroModel 9.9 with the OPLS3e force field, CHCl₃ as solvent and dielectric constant 9.1. Redundant conformer elimination in MacroModel was used to reduce the number of conformations to 10-20 structures.

**Synthesis**

**Synthesis of 6-methoxyindan-1-one (3)**

Compound 2 (2.523 g, 14.0 mmol) was dissolved in dry DCM (10 ml) in a flame-dried MW vial and cooled in ice-bath. TfOH (3.7 ml, 41.9 mmol) was added dropwise. The vial was sealed, the air was replaced by argon gas, and the reaction mixture was heated in the MW to 110°C, 5 bar, for 1 h. The reaction mixture was poured on ice. The water phase was extracted three times with DCM (3x100 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by CC (pentane/EtOAc 1:0 to 1:4). The solvent was evaporated, giving a light yellow solid, 1.204 g, 53% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 7.37 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.20 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.18 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.07 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.72 (m, 2H, CH₂CO). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 207.0 (CO), 159.4 (C-OCH₃), 148.0 (C, Ar), 138.2 (C, Ar), 127.3 (CH, Ar), 124.0 (CH, Ar), 104.9 (CH, Ar), 55.6 (OCH₃), 37.0 (CH₂CO), 25.1 (CH₂CH₂CO). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C₁₀H₁₀O₂, [M+H]⁺: 163; found: 163. Data in agreement with the literature.

**Synthesis of 6-hydroxyindan-1-one (4)**

Compound 3 (1.367 g, 8.4 mmol) and AlCl₃ (3.483 g, 26.1 mmol) were dissolved in dry toluene (50 ml) and refluxed for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to RT.
H₂O (70 ml) was added and the organic phase collected. The water phase was extracted three times with EtOAc (3x50 ml). The combined organic phases were washed with brine two times (2x75 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The orange crude product was purified by CC (pentane/EtOAc 1:0 to 1:4). The solvent was evaporated, giving a light orange solid, 1.103 g, 81% yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): δ = 7.36 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.22 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.16 (dd, J = 2.4, 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.67 (bp s, 1H, OH), 3.08 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CO), 2.73 (m, 2H, CH₂CO). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz): δ = 207.4 (CO), 155.4 (C-OH), 147.8 (C, Ar), 138.3 (C, Ar), 127.6 (CH, Ar), 123.4 (CH, Ar), 108.7 (CH, Ar), 37.0 (CH₂CO), 25.1 (CH₂CH₂CO). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C₉H₈O₂, [M+H]+: 149; found: 149. Data in agreement with the literature.⁴⁴

**General procedure A: Williamson ether synthesis (assisted by MW)**

Compound 4 (2 eq.), dibromoalkane 5 (1 eq.), TBAB (0.2 eq.) and K₂CO₃ (4 eq.) were dissolved in dry DMF (15 ml) in a flame-dried MW vial. The vial was sealed, put under argon and heated in the MW to 150°C for 15 min (the reaction was followed by NMR). The reaction mixture was cooled to RT and poured on DCM (40 ml), filtered and washed with water four times (4x50 ml) and brine three times (3x50 ml). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed by rotary evaporation. The product was dried under high vacuum overnight.

![Figure 7: Numbering of carbons in compounds 6a-6d, showing 6d as an example.](image-url)
Synthesis of 6-[2-(3-oxoindan-5-yl)oxyhexoxy]indan-1-one (6a)

The synthesis followed General procedure A with compound 4 (0.201 g, 1.4 mmol) and 1,6-dibromohexane 5a (0.11 ml, 0.7 mmol) as starting materials, giving a brown solid which was sufficiently pure for subsequent steps, 0.176 g, 69% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 500 MHz): \(\delta = 7.36\) (m, 2H, H-7), 7.20-7.16 (m, 4H, H-4 H-6), 4.00 (t, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)-1’), 3.07 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-1), 2.72 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-2), 1.84 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-2’), 1.54 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-3’). \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 100.6 MHz): \(\delta = 207.1\) (C, C-3), 158.8 (C, C-5), 147.8 (C, C-3a), 138.2 (C, C-7a), 127.3 (CH, C-7), 124.4 (CH, C-6), 105.6 (CH, C-4), 68.2 (CH\(_2\), C-1’), 37.0 (CH\(_2\), C-2), 29.0 (CH\(_2\), C-2’), 25.8 (CH\(_2\), C-3’), 25.1 (CH\(_2\), C-1).

APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C\(_{24}\)H\(_{26}\)O\(_4\), [M+H]\(^+\): 379; found: 379. UV-vis (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\): 320, 249 nm.

Synthesis of 6-[2-(3-oxoindan-5-yl)oxyoctoxy]indan-1-one (6b)

The synthesis followed General procedure A with compound 4 (0.115 g, 0.8 mmol) and 1,8-dibromooctane 5b (0.07 ml, 0.4 mmol) as starting materials, giving an orange solid which was sufficiently pure for subsequent steps, 0.121 g, 78% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 500 MHz): \(\delta = 7.36\) (m, 2H, H-7), 7.20-7.16 (m, 4H, H-4 H-6), 3.98 (t, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)-1’), 3.06 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-1), 2.71 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-2), 1.84 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-2’), 1.47 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-3’), 1.40 (m, 4H, CH\(_2\)-4’). \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 100.6 MHz): \(\delta = 207.1\) (C, C-3), 158.8 (C, C-5), 147.8 (C, C-3a), 138.2 (C, C-7a), 127.3 (CH, C-7), 124.4 (CH, C-6), 105.6 (CH, C-4), 68.3 (CH\(_2\), C-1’), 37.0 (CH\(_2\), C-2), 29.0 (CH\(_2\), C-2’), 25.1 (CH\(_2\), C-1). APCI-MS: m/z calcd.
for C\textsubscript{26}H\textsubscript{30}O\textsubscript{4}, [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 407; found: 407. HRMS (Cl): m/z calcd. for C\textsubscript{26}H\textsubscript{30}O\textsubscript{4}, [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 407.2217; found: 407.2217. UV-vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\): 320, 249 nm.

**Synthesis of 6-[2-(3-oxoindan-5-yl)oxydecoxy]indan-1-one (6c)**

The synthesis followed General procedure A with compound 4 (0.397 g, 2.7 mmol) and 1,10-dibromodecane 5c (0.405 g, 1.3 mmol) as starting materials, giving a light brown solid which was sufficiently pure for subsequent steps, 0.471 g, 80% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 500 MHz): \(\delta = 7.34\) (m, 2H, H-7), 7.20-7.16 (m, 4H, H-4 H-6), 3.98 (t, \(J = 6.8\) Hz, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-1'), 3.07 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-1), 2.71 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-2), 1.79 (dt, \(J = 6.8, 15.0\) Hz, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-2'), 1.45 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-3'), 1.39-1.27 (m, 8H, CH\textsubscript{2}-4' C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}-5'). 13C NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 100.6 MHz): \(\delta = 207.1\) (C, C-3), 158.9 (C, C-5), 147.8 (C, C-3a), 138.2 (C, C-7a), 127.3 (CH, C-7), 124.4 (CH, C-6), 105.6 (CH, C-4), 68.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-1'), 37.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-2), 29.4 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-5'), 29.2 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-4'), 29.1 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-2'), 26.0 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-3'), 25.1 (CH\textsubscript{2}, C-1). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C\textsubscript{28}H\textsubscript{34}O\textsubscript{4}, [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 435; found: 435. HRMS (Cl): m/z calcd. for C\textsubscript{28}H\textsubscript{34}O\textsubscript{4}, [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 435.2530; found: 435.2527. UV-vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\): 320, 248 nm.

**Synthesis of 6-[2-(3-oxoindan-5-yl)oxydodecoxy]indan-1-one (6d)**

The synthesis followed General procedure A with compound 4 (0.102 g, 0.7 mmol) and 1,12-dibromododecane 5d (0.112 g, 3.5*10\textsuperscript{-2} mmol) as starting materials, giving a light brown solid which was sufficiently pure for subsequent steps, 0.112 g, 71% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 500 MHz): \(\delta = 7.36\) (m, 2H, H-7), 7.20-7.17 (m, 4H, H-4 H-6), 3.98 (t, \(J = 6.8\) Hz, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-1'), 3.07 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-1), 2.71 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-2), 1.79 (dt, \(J = 6.8, 14.8\) Hz, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-2'), 1.45 (m, 4H, CH\textsubscript{2}-3'), 1.39-1.27 (m, 12H, CH\textsubscript{2}-4' C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}-5').
CH₂-6'). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100.6 MHz): δ = 207.1 (C, C-3), 158.9 (C, C-5), 147.7 (C, C-3a), 138.2 (C, C-7a), 127.3 (CH, C-7), 124.4 (CH, C-6), 105.6 (CH, C-4), 68.4 (CH$_2$, C-1'), 37.0 (CH$_2$, C-2), 29.5 (CH$_2$, 4C, C-5’ C-6’), 29.3 (CH$_2$, C-4’), 29.1 (CH$_2$, C-2’), 26.0 (CH$_2$, C-3’), 25.1 (CH$_2$, C-1). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C$_{30}$H$_{38}$O$_4$, [M+H]$^+$: 463; found: 463. HRMS (Cl): m/z calcd. for C$_{30}$H$_{38}$O$_4$, [M+H]$^+$: 463.2843; found: 463.2836. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{max}$: 320, 248 nm.

**General procedure B: McMurry coupling**

Zinc powder previously grounded (12 eq.) was suspended in dry THF (30 ml). The suspension was cooled to 0°C in an ice bath and TiCl$_4$ (6 eq.) added over 10 minutes. The resulting slurry was refluxed for 1.5 h. A solution of compound 6 in dry THF (50-100 ml) was added over a 5-7 h period to the refluxing reaction mixture by syringe pump. The refluxing was continued for 40 min after the addition was complete. The reaction mixture was cooled to RT and poured on a saturated aqueous solution of NH$_4$Cl. The water phase was extracted three times with DCM (3x100 ml). The combined organic phases were washed two times with brine (2x100 ml) then dried over MgSO$_4$ and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Unless stated differently, the obtained yellow oil was purified by CC (pentane/DCM 1:0 to 1:1). The obtained product was dried under high vacuum overnight.
The synthesis followed General procedure B with compound 6a (0.279 g, 0.7 mmol) as starting material and gave the pure product as a light yellow solid, 0.093 g, 37% yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta = 7.75$ (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 2H, H-4), 7.19 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, H-7), 6.80 (dd, $J = 2.3, 8.0$ Hz, 2H, H-6), 4.07 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 4H, CH$_2$-1'), 2.94 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-1), 2.82 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-2), 1.80 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-2'), 1.59 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-3'). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100.6 MHz): $\delta = 157.6$ (C, C-5), 141.6 (C, C-7a), 141.1 (C, C-3a), 135.2 (C, C-3), 125.5 (CH, C-7), 116.2 (CH, C-6), 111.9 (CH, C-4), 69.7 (CH$_2$, C-1'), 35.0 (CH$_2$, C-2), 30.0 (CH$_2$, C-1), 28.8 (CH$_2$, C-2'), 24.4 (CH$_2$, C-3'). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{26}$O$_2$, [M+H]$^+$: 347; found: 347. HRMS (CI): m/z calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{26}$O$_2$, [M+H]$^+$: 347.2006; found: 347.1996. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$: 350, 298, 253 nm.

**Synthesis of macrocyclic stiff stilbene diether Z-1b**

The synthesis followed General procedure B with compound 6b (0.105 g, 0.3 mmol) as starting material and gave the pure product as a light yellow solid, 0.038 g, 39% yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta = 7.69$ (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 2H, H-4), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H, H-7), 6.83 (dd, $J = 2.3, 8.2$ Hz, 2H, H-6), 4.07 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 4H, CH$_2$-1'), 2.94 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-1), 2.82 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-2), 1.80 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-2'), 1.59 (m, 4H, CH$_2$-3'). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{26}$O$_2$, [M+H]$^+$: 347; found: 347. HRMS (CI): m/z calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{26}$O$_2$, [M+H]$^+$: 347.2006; found: 347.1996. UV-vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda_{\text{max}}$: 350, 298, 253 nm.
Hz, 2H, H-7), 6.74 (dd, J = 2.5, 8.2 Hz, 2H, H-6), 3.97 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, CH2-1’), 2.93 (m, 4H, CH2-1), 2.82 (m, 4H, CH2-2), 1.82 (dt, J = 6.1, 12.8 Hz, 4H, CH2-2’), 1.56 (m, 4H, CH2-3’), 1.45 (m, 4H, CH2-4’). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100.6 MHz): δ = 157.6 (C, C-5), 141.6 (C, C-7a), 140.5 (C, C-3a), 135.4 (C, C-3), 125.4 (CH, C-7), 113.9 (CH, C-6), 110.0 (CH, C-4), 68.1 (CH2, C-1’), 35.4 (CH2, C-2), 29.8 (CH2, C-1), 28.4 (CH2, C-2’), 26.9 (CH2, C-4’), 26.4 (CH2, C-5’), 24.8 (CH2, C-3’). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C26H30O2, [M+H]+: 375; found: 375. HRMS (CI): m/z calcd. for C26H30O2, [M+H]+: 375.2319; found: 375.2311. UV-vis (CH2Cl2) λ max: 361, 349, 300, 253 nm.

Synthesis of macrocyclic stiff stilbene diether Z-1c

The synthesis followed General procedure B with compound 6c (0.350 g, 0.8 mmol) as starting material and gave the pure product as a light yellow solid, 0.171g, 53% yield. 1H NMR (CDCl3, 500 MHz): δ = 7.66 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H, H-4), 7.19 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, H-7), 6.75 (dd, J = 2.4, 8.3 Hz, 2H, H-6), 3.92 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 4H, CH2-1’), 2.93 (m, 4H, CH2-1), 2.82 (m, 4H, CH2-2), 1.79 (dt, J = 5.9, 12.6 Hz, 4H, CH2-2’), 1.55 (dt, J = 5.9, 12.6 Hz, 4H, CH2-3’), 1.45-1.37 (m, 8H, CH2-4’ CH2-5’). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100.6 MHz): δ = 157.7 (C, C-5), 141.7 (C, C-7a), 140.4 (C, C-3a), 135.5 (C, C-3), 125.4 (CH, C-7), 113.6 (CH, C-6), 109.5 (CH, C-4), 67.1 (CH2, C-1’), 35.6 (CH2, C-2), 29.8 (CH2, C-1), 28.4 (CH2, C-2’), 26.9 (CH2, C-4’), 26.4 (CH2, C-5’), 24.8 (CH2, C-3’). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C28H34O2, [M+H]+: 403; found: 403. HRMS (CI): m/z calcd. for C28H34O2, [M+H]+: 403.2632; found: 403.2624. UV-vis (CH2Cl2) λ max: 361, 349, 301, 252 nm.

Synthesis of macrocyclic stiff stilbene diether Z-1d
The synthesis followed General procedure B with compound 6d (0.312 g, 0.7 mmol) as starting material and gave the pure product as a light yellow solid, 0.152 g, 52% yield. \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 500 MHz): \(\delta = 7.64 \ (d, \ J = 2.4 \ Hz, \ 2H, \ H-4), 7.19 \ (d, \ J = 8.3 \ Hz, \ 2H, \ H-7), 6.76 \ (dd, \ J = 2.4, \ 8.3 \ Hz, \ 2H, \ H-6), 3.91 \ (t, \ J = 6.3 \ Hz, \ 4H, \ CH\_2-1'), 2.93 \ (m, \ 4H, \ CH\_2-1), 2.82 \ (m, \ 4H, \ CH\_2-2), 1.76 \ (dt, \ J = 6.3, \ 15.0 \ Hz, \ 4H, \ CH\_2-2'), 1.49 \ (m, \ 4H, \ CH\_2-3'), 1.44-1.26 \ (m, \ 12H, \ CH\_2-4' \ CH\_2-5' \ CH\_2-6'). \(^1\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 100.6 MHz): \(\delta = 157.8 \ (C, \ C-5), 141.6 \ (C, \ C-7a), 140.5 \ (C, \ C-3a), 135.4 \ (C, \ C-3), 125.4 \ (CH, \ C-7), 114.1 \ (CH, \ C-6), 109.3 \ (CH, \ C-4), 68.4 \ (CH\_2, \ C-1'), 35.5 \ (CH\_2, \ C-2), 29.8 \ (CH\_2, \ C-1), 29.6 \ (CH\_2, \ C-2'), 27.4 \ (CH\_2, \ C-4'), 27.1 \ (CH\_2, \ C-5'), 26.2 \ (CH\_2, \ C-6'), 25.1 \ (CH\_2, \ C-3'). APCI-MS: m/z calcd. for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{38}\)O\(_2\), [M+H]\(^+\): 431; found: 431. HRMS (Cl): m/z calcd. for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{38}\)O\(_2\), [M+H]\(^+\): 431.2945; found: 431.2928. UV-vis (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\): 359, 349, 298, 252 nm.

**Photoisomerizations (followed by NMR spectroscopy)**

CDCl\(_3\) solutions of products \(Z-1d\) and stiff stilbene were irradiated after they were degassed by argon bubbling for 15 min. As reaction vessels, 5 mm NMR tubes, Type 5Hp, 178 mm were used. The course of isomerization was assessed by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy.

**Photoisomerizations (followed by UV/vis spectroscopy)**

CHCl\(_3\) solutions of products \(Z-1d\) and stiff stilbene were irradiated after they were degassed by argon bubbling for 15 min. As reaction vessels, 10 mm quartz UV-vis cuvettes were used. The course of isomerization was assessed by UV-vis spectroscopy.
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