<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preprint Title</th>
<th>Non-covalent Li···H interaction in the synthesis of peri-disubstituted naphthalene proton sponges.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Alexander S. Antonov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article Type</td>
<td>Full Research Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Information File 1</td>
<td>periPS-SI-1.docx; 635.6 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORCID® iDs</td>
<td>Alexander S. Antonov - <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7047-789X">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7047-789X</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-covalent Li···H interaction in the synthesis of peri-disubstituted naphthalene proton sponges.

Alexander S. Antonov*1

Address: 1Institute of Chemistry, St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 26, 198504 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation
Email: Alexander S. Antonov - aleksandr.antonov@spbu.ru
* Corresponding author

Abstract
Non-covalent Li···H interaction was utilized as a tool for the second lithiation of 4-lithio-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene with n-BuLi in the presence of TMEDA in hexane. Metallation proceeds with 100% selectivity in the second peri-position and with up to 90% yield. A series of 4,5-disubstituted derivatives of DMAN has been prepared in a good to excellent yield after quenching the reaction mass with different electrophiles.
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Introduction
Organometallic compounds are traditionally considered to be convenient tools for the modification of organic molecules. Thus, organolithium, organomagnesium and organozinc reagents are widely used to introduce a wide variety of functional groups
via reactions with electrophilic agents [1,2]. It is not surprising that the discovery of the direct CH bond metallation made organometallics, especially organolithiums, indispensable agents for modern organic synthesis [3,4]. Organometallics play a key role in the preparation of naphthalene proton sponges, which are widely known for their outstanding basicity (pKa (1) = 12.1 in H₂O [5], 18.62 in MeCN [6], 7.5 in DMSO [7]). Dozens of ortho-, para- and even meta- derivatives of 1 were obtained from organolithiums 2-6 (Scheme 1) [8–10], which not only made it possible to establish the relation between structure and basicity of these interesting compounds [11,12], but also revealed their unusual reactivity leading to the formation of key heterocyclic systems, such as indoles [10,13], pyrazoles [14], isoxazoles [14], quinolines [15], quinasolines [16]. Moreover, in the past two decades, naphthalene proton sponges drew additional attention as a convenient core for the development of superbasic heterogeneous catalysts [17–21].

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1**: 1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene 1 and its lithio derivatives.

Nevertheless, peri-disubstituted naphthalene proton sponges are barely known, since the common approach to their generation is based on low-yielding electrophilic substitution [22–25]. As a result, known compounds of this group are limited to the products of nitration and acylation due to the low accessibility of proper organometallic precursors, such as peri-dilithio sponge 7. This is indeed an unfortunate gap in the chemistry of naphthalene proton sponges: the influence of
sterical interaction between two peri-substituents on basicity and reactivity remains unclear. So far, the only way to obtain 7 was the exchange of bromine in 4,5-dibromo-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene 8. Unfortunately, the latter could only be obtained via a multistage procedure starting from commercially available 1,8-diaminonaphthalene with very low yield (~4-5% in total, Scheme 2), since direct peri-dibromination of 1 is impossible [26]. Here we present a simple and effective procedure for the synthesis of different peri-disubstituted naphthalene proton sponges based on the selective second lithiation of 4-lithio-1,8-diaminonaphthalene 6.

**Scheme 2:** Existing way for the multistep synthesis of 7 from 9

**Results and Discussion**

It is known that the presence of a lithium atom in aromatic molecules facilitates the second lithiation of the nearest CH-bond [27–29]. For example, 1-lithionaphthalene can be selectively converted into 1,8-dilithinaphthale after treatment with n-buthyllithium in the presence of N,N,N,N-tetramethylethylene diamine (TMEDA) [29]. Keeping this in mind, we have conducted a series of experiments in which 4-lithio-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene 6 was subjected to the action of the mixture of n-butyl lithium with TMEDA (LiTMEDA) in hexane (Table 1, Scheme 3). In order to simplify the process, 7 was prepared *in situ* and was not separated before the second
metallation. To establish the ratio of lithium derivatives 6 and 7, the crude reaction mixture was usually quenched with N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) to give easily identified proton sponge aldehydes 11a and 11b. The reaction mixture components were first registered by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and then separated by TLC on alumina. The spectral yield of the aldehydes 11a and 11b and indirectly their lithium predecessors 6 and 7 was determined via intensities of aldehyde and aromatic proton signals characteristic for each compound (Figure 1).

Scheme 2: Preparation of 6 and its second lithiation.

Table 1: Results of control experiments on the metallation of 6 with LiTMEDA after quenching the reaction mixture with DMF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>LiTMEDA, equiv, a</th>
<th>Time, h</th>
<th>T, °C</th>
<th>Products yield (NMR), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It was found that the reaction is best carried out at 25 °C in hexane using five (excluding the amount used for the generation of 6 from 10) molar equivalents of LiTMEDA (run 5). The process proceeds slowly but selectively; thus, prolonged stirring (run 1-7) with subsequent quenching of the crude reaction mass with DMF results in the formation of 4,5-dialdehyde 11b together with 11a (originating from reaction of the leftovers of the starting material 6 with DMF), no isomeric dialdehydes are formed. A 72-hour metallation is considered to be optimal (run 5); decreasing and increasing the reaction time did not significantly change these results (runs 7,8). The attempt to increase the reaction temperature leads to the decomposition of organolithium species which in turn leads to the formation of diamine 1 (run 6).

The observed reactivity originates from a non-covalent, so called agostic [30], interaction between the lithium atom and the neighboring CH-bond, serving as a pseudo-ligand in hetero-dimer 12 [31]. The latter can be formed after the deaggregation of the dimeric complex (6·TMEDA)2 in the presence of the excess of n-BuLi (Scheme 3).
Figure 1: Aldehyde groups region in the $^1$H NMR spectrum (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) of the reaction mixture after its quenching with DMF (Table 1, run 5).

Scheme 3: Proposed mechanism of the formation of 7

It has been shown, that 6 in comparison with 1-lithionaphthalene demonstrates much lower reactivity towards the second metallation. Thus, a great excess of LiTMEDA is required to reach a reasonable conversion. We believe that the strong electron-donor effect of two NMe$_2$ groups significantly suppresses CH-acidity of para-protons.

The optimized reaction conditions were further used to synthesize a series of proton sponges containing various functional groups in peri-positions via the reaction of 7 with different electrophilic reagents (Table 2). Noticeably, the treatment of 7 with
chlorotrimethylsilane leads to the formation of the monosubstituted sponge 17. Obviously, the extreme bulkiness of SiMe$_3$ groups prevents disubstitution.

**Table 2:** Application of the developed method for the synthesis of peri-disubstituted proton sponges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrophilic reagent</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$OD</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeI</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(OMe)$_2$</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me$_2$S$_2$</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me$_3$SiCl</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a new, highly efficient method for the synthesis of peri-disubstituted naphthalene proton sponges via the directed second metallation of a naphthalene core utilizing non-covalent Li···H interaction. It has been shown that, unlike 1-lithionaphthalene, the metallation of 4-lithio-1,8-diaminonaphthalene proceeds slower due to the strong +M-effect of NMe₂ groups. This method opened a way for the preparation of a wide range of 4,5-disubstituted derivatives of DMAN, by quenching the lithium intermediate with appropriate electrophiles.

Experimental

General Procedures. Liquid-state NMR experiments were performed at 400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C (Centre for Magnetic Resonance, St. Petersburg State University). Chemical shifts are referred to TMS for ¹H and ¹³C.

The HR-ESI mass-spectra were obtained on a BRUKER maXis spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source; methanol was used as the solvent (Chemical Analysis and Materials Research Centre, St. Petersburg State University). The instrument was operated in positive mode using an m/z range of 50–1200. The capillary voltage of the ion source was set at 4000 V. The nebulizer gas pressure was 1.0 bar, and the drying gas flow was set to 4.0 L/min.

Melting points were determined in glass capillaries on a Stuart SMP30 device and are uncorrected.

Second metalation of 4-lithio-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene. 4-Bromo-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene [32] (200 mg, 0.75 mmol) was placed in a flame-dried, round-bottomed flask. 10 mL of freshly distillated over sodium n-hexane was
added. The flask was filled with dry argon and closed with a serum cap. The mixture was cooled to −24 °C for 30 min and a 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexanes (2.8 mL, 4.5 mmol) was added via syringe. The yellow mixture was kept at −24 °C for 1 hour in order to form 4-lithio-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene. Freshly distillated TMEDA (0.7 mL, 4.5 mmol) was added via syringe to the formed yellow suspension. The dark orange solution was stirred at 25 °C for 72 h. The resulting grayish brown suspension (A) contained about 90% of 4,5-dilithio-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene.

4,5-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene-1,8-dicarbaldehyde (11b): The absolute N,N-dimethylformamide (0.36 mL, 4.5 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The products were extracted with Et2O (2 × 25 mL), the solvent was evaporated to dryness and the residue was chromatographed on aluminium oxide with an MeCN–benzene 1 : 10 mixture as the eluent. An orange fraction with Rf = 0.1 was collected. The product was isolated in the form of orange crystals with a yield of 88% (178 mg), mp 146–147 °C (n-octane). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.90 (s, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.96 (s, 12H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 188.58, 156.68, 135.77, 135.33, 122.21, 114.85, 108.74, 42.79. HRMS (ESI): found 303.1709 [M+MeOH+H]+; calculated for C17H23N2O3 [M+MeOH+H]+ 303.1703.

1,8-Bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene-4,5-d2 (13): Dry CH3OD (0.43 mL, 10 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The product was extracted with hexane (2 × 25 mL), the solvent was evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in Et2O (5 mL) and 48% solution of HBF4 in water (0.07 mL,
0.75 mmol) was added. The white precipitate was filtrated and recrystallized from water to form colourless needles, mp 271–272 °C (water). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 18.32 (s, 1H), 8.10 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.75 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.15 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 12H). The obtained crystals were neutralized with aqueous ammonia and the product was extracted with n-hexane. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The product was isolated as colourless crystals with a yield of 80% (130 mg), mp 45–47 °C (n-hexane). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.34 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.84 (s, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 150.79, 137.69, 125.29, 121.3 (m), 120.59, 44.41. HRMS (ESI): found 217.1741 [M+H$^+$]; calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{17}$D$_2$N$_2$ [M+H$^+$] 217.1668.

4,5-Dimethyl-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (14): Dry MeI (0.61 mL, 10 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The product was extracted with hexane (2 × 25 mL), the solvent was evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in Et$_2$O (5 mL) and 48% solution of HBF$_4$ in water (0.07 mL, 0.75 mmol) was added. The pale-yellow precipitate was filtrated and recrystallized from water to form pale yellow needles, mp 279–280 °C (water). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 19.27 (s, 1H), 7.93 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.08 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 12H), 2.93 (s, 6H). The obtained crystals were neutralized with aqueous ammonia and the product was extracted with n-hexane. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The product was isolated as pale-yellow oil with a yield of 83% (149 mg). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.10 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.81 (s, 6H), 2.78 (s, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 149.24, 137.20, 128.84, 127.28, 121.24, 110.88, 43.83, 25.29. HRMS (ESI): found 243.1904 [M+H$^+$]; calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{23}$N$_2$ [M+H$^+$] 243.1856.
Dimethyl 4,5-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene-1,8-dicarboxylate (15): Dry dimethyl carbonate (0.84 mL, 10 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The products were extracted with Et₂O (2 × 25 mL), the solvent was evaporated to dryness and the residue was chromato-graphed on aluminium oxide with an MeCN–benzene 1 : 20 mixture as the eluent. Yellow fraction with Rf = 0.5 was collected. The product was isolated as yellow crystals with a yield of 89% (220 mg), mp 179–181 °C (benzene). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 2.87 (s, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.58, 154.00, 133.28, 131.15, 119.96, 118.35, 109.13, 51.49, 43.22. HRMS (ESI): found 331.1652 [M+H⁺]; calculated for C₁₈H₂₃N₂O₄ [M+H⁺] 331.1662.

4,5-Bis(methylthio)-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (16): Dry Me₂S₂ (0.5 mL, 5 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The product was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 25 mL), the solvent was evaporated to dryness the residue was chromatographed on aluminium oxide with an EtOAc–n-hexane 1 : 15 mixture as the eluent. Yellow fraction with Rf = 0.6 was collected. The product was isolated as dark yellow oil with a yield of 78% (179 mg). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.80 (s, 12H), 2.46 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.80, 137.86, 130.67, 125.85, 121.20, 111.05, 43.57, 21.76. HRMS (ESI): found 306,1259 [M⁺]; calculated for C₁₆H₂₃N₂S₂ [M⁺] 306,1219.
4-Trimethylsilyl-1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (17): Me₃SiCl (0.7 mL, 5 mmol) was added via syringe to the cooled to −24 °C suspension A. The reaction mass was additionally stirred for 12 hours at −24 °C and treated with water (10 mL). The residue was dissolved in Et₂O (5 mL) and 48% solution of HBF₄ in water (0.07 mL, 0.75 mmol) was added. The pale-green precipitate was filtrated and recrystallized from water to form colourless plates. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 18.69 (s, 1H), 8.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 6H), 3.13 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 6H), 0.47 (s, 9H). The obtained crystals were neutralized with aqueous ammonia and the product was extracted with n-hexane. The solvent was evaporated to dryness. The product was isolated as colourless oil with a yield of 32% (69 mg). Characterization data were consistent with those reported in the literature [33].
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